Ferguson v. Tejpar et al

Robert Engbloom on Tuesday, April 6, 2021



700 4 Avenue SW, Suite 220 Calgary, AB T2P 3J4

amicusreporting.com | 403-266-1744

1		
2	COURT FILE NUMBER 2	101-00793
3		
4	COURT C	OURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA
5		LA CENTRE OF
6	JUDICIAL CENTRE C.	ALGARY
7 8	APPLICANT T	HOMAS H. FERGUSON
9		502141
10	RESPONDENTS A	LI TEJPAR, ZAHRA TEJPAR, REGISTRAR
11	0	F TITLES for the LAND TITLES
12	0	FFICE, JOHN DOE, JANE DOE, ABC
13	C	ORPORATION
14		
15		
16	Transcript	of Oral Questioning of
17	R	OBERT ENGBLOOM
18	(On affidav	it sworn March 30, 2021)
19	Held v	ia videoconferencing
20		April 6, 2021
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
     ALL PARTIES APPEARING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCING
 2
 3
     For the Applicant
    Ellen K. Embury
 4
 5
    Lauren E. Garvie
          Carbert Waite LLP
 6
 7
          2300, 645 - 7th Avenue SW
 8
          Calgary, AB
                       T2P 4G8
 9
          403-263-5656
10
11
     For the Respondents, Ali Tejpar and Zahra Tejpar
12
     Renee V. Reichelt
13
     Sophie Mansfield
14
          Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
          3500, 855 - 2nd Street SW
15
16
          Calgary, AB
                        T2P 4J8
17
          403-260-9600
18
19
     Official Court Reporter
20
     Sandra Dreher, CSR(A)
21
          Amicus Reporting Group, a Veritext Company
22
          403-266-1744
23
24
25
```

1 (Proceedings commenced at 11:01 a.m.)

2 COURT REPORTER: Counsel, as you all know 3 because we are using a virtual connection, everyone is going to have to be more conscious than ever of not 4 5 speaking over each other. If I cannot hear the end of 6 a question or the beginning of an answer, you are going to have a very poor record. If I have to consistently 7 8 interrupt because I cannot hear or understand something 9 that is said, you will not have a good examination If there is an objection, I must be able to hear 10 flow. 11 it and know who is objecting. If I do have to 12 interrupt, please be patient and understand that my 13 goal is to provide you with a perfect record of these 14 proceedings. Please move your papers and/or legal pads 15 away from your computer so there is no ambient noise.

From time to time we've noticed the audio can be affected, and if so, we may need to stop the proceedings and wait for a moment for the audio to improve, either by reconnecting or asking that everyone use the conference call number if you're using computer audio.

Would the witness please identify himself and
spell your first and last name for the record?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, Bob Engbloom, and you spell
my last name, E-N-G-B-L-O-O-M.

Τ

1	COUF	RT REPORTER: If there are any questions about
2		the witness's identity, would you counsel please advise
3		on the record now?
4		Hearing no objection, counsel, are you ready for
5		me to affirm the witness?
6	MS.	REICHELT: Yes.
7	ROBE	ERT ENGBLOOM, affirmed, questioned via videoconference
8	by M	Is. Reichelt:
9	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Good morning, sir.
10	Α.	Good morning.
11	Q.	As you know, I'm counsel for two of the respondents,
12		Mr. and Mrs. Tejpar. I'm not it's not clear to me
13		and I don't know if the Registrar of Titles for the
14		Land Titles Office was served, but as I understand it,
15		there is nobody here that represents them at this
16		point.
17		And also I understand that Mr. Ferguson, who is
18		the applicant, is in your house, present, not on camera
19		but present for your cross-examination; is that
20		correct?
21	Α.	That is correct.
22	Q.	And, sir, you swore an Affidavit in Court of Queen's
23		Bench, Action Number 2101-00793 on March 30th, 2021; is
24		that correct?
25	Α.	Yes.

Т

1	Q.	And if we refer to that action as "the injunction
2		application," you will understand what I am referring
3		to?
4	A.	I will.
5	Q.	And you're aware that that relates to an application
6		for injunctive relief commenced by Mr. Tom Ferguson,
7		correct?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	And I understand based on an email received from your
10		counsel yesterday afternoon that there are two
11		corrections you wish to make to your Affidavit; is that
12		correct?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Okay. Do you want to proceed and confirm those for us?
15	A.	Well, I I don't have the language right in front of
16		me, but it would be should I dig out the email?
17	Q.	Well, I guess I can suggest it to you, then. As I
18		understand it, you wanted to correct paragraph 16 to
19		include at the end "except as noted in paragraph 19
20		below," and then at paragraph 21 where the sentence
21		reads "subsequent to subdivisions referred to in
22		paragraph," it should read, "19"?
23	A.	Correct. Both are correct.
24	Q.	And those are the only two corrections that you wish to
25		make to your Affidavit, sir?

	0	
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And, sir, if I refer to it as "your Affidavit," you'll
3		understand I mean the Affidavit you swore on
4		March 30th, 2021, in this action?
5	A.	I'll understand that.
6	Q.	And, sir, right before you began, you took an
7		affirmation to tell the truth; is that correct?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And that affirmation is binding on your conscience?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And, sir, you've confirmed to me that you have a copy
12		of your Affidavit with you, correct?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	If we turn to page 5 of that Affidavit, at the bottom
15		of the page there is a signature of what appears to be
16		yourself sworn before Matthew Bobawsky on March 30th,
17		2021; is that your signature, sir?
18	A.	Yes, it is.
19	Q.	And you confirm at the time that you swore this
20		Affidavit that you provided an oath to tell the truth?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	And that the contents of that Affidavit are true to the
23		best of your knowledge?
24	A.	Correct.
25	Q.	And they remain as you sit here today?
L		

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And, sir, two of the respondents in this injunction
3		application are Zahra Tejpar and Ali Tejpar, correct?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	And if I refer to those two individuals collectively as
6		"the Tejpars," you'll understand who I am referring to?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And you'll understand that the Tejpars, as set out in
9		your Affidavit, are the resident owners of the property
10		legally described as Plan 3605FO, Block 91, Lot 1,
11		correct?
12	A.	Correct.
13	Q.	And so if we call that "the respondents' land" or "the
14		Tejpar property," you'll know what I'm referring to?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And before I continue, we should also note that you and
17		Ms. Tejpar have a prior professional relationship,
18		correct?
19	A.	Correct.
20	Q.	You both worked together at Norton Rose Fulbright
21		Canada LLP?
22	A.	Yes, that's correct.
23	Q.	And you still are counsel there; is that correct?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	And you're aware that the Tejpars are clients of Norton

1		Rose?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And you're aware primarily that the Tejpars utilized
4		the services of Norton Rose for real estate purchases,
5		correct?
6	A.	No, I didn't know that.
7	Q.	And you reviewed, prior to coming here today, the
8		Affidavit of Mr. Ferguson sworn in this action?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	And you're aware that Mr. Ferguson and his wife, Dianne
11		Elizabeth Ferguson, own the lands legally described as
12		Plan 3605FO, Block 92, Lot 9, correct?
13	A.	Yes, correct.
14	Q.	And if we refer to that as "the Ferguson property,"
15		you'll understand what I'm referring to?
16	A.	I will.
17	Q.	And, sir, I guess we should also identify your lot,
18		which I believe is set out of Plan 3605FO, Block 91,
19		Lot 8, and we'll refer to that as "your property"; does
20		that make sense to you, sir?
21	A.	It does.
22	Q.	Other than discussions with your legal counsel, which I
23		don't want to hear about, can you tell me what you did
24		to prepare for today's cross-examination?
25	Α.	Yes. I reviewed the the Affidavit and the and

1		the attachments. I reviewed the Caveat. I reviewed
2		the lot plan that was provided to me yesterday by your
3		office, I believe. I reviewed the Plan 3605FO. And I
4		did some calculations.
5	Q.	Okay. And when you referred to "the Caveat," is that
6		the document attached at Exhibit B to your Affidavit,
7		sir?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Okay. And I'm going to refer to that as "the purported
10		Caveat" so we understand what we are talking about.
11		And when you say that you referred to Plan 3605FO, is
12		that the attachment that you've included at Exhibit C
13		to your Affidavit?
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	And that was the plan as it was in or around the 1940s,
16		correct?
17	A.	Yeah. I think it was 1946, correct.
18	Q.	So that's the plan as of that date that you've included
19		in your Affidavit?
20	A.	I'm sorry, how did you describe it?
21	Q.	That's the plan as of that date that you've included in
22		your Affidavit?
23	A.	Correct.
24	Q.	Did you speak with Mr. Ferguson regarding today's
25		cross-examination?

amicusreporting.com 403.266.1744

·	•	
1	A.	Today? Not today. Yes, I've spoken with Mr. Ferguson
2		over the last number of months but not about the
3		cross-examination.
4	Q.	Okay. Did you speak with
5	A.	We were we were on a call Saturday with counsel to
6		prepare and one yesterday to prepare.
7	MS.	EMBURY: All of those were with counsel.
8	MS.	REICHELT: Yeah, that's fine.
9	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And as I said, I don't want to
10		hear about your discussions with counsel.
11	A.	Okay.
12	Q.	Other than counsel, did you speak with anybody else
13		regarding today's cross-examination?
14	A.	My wife.
15	Q.	Anyone else?
16	A.	I mentioned it to our son yesterday, and
17	Q.	Sorry, go ahead.
18	A.	I think I mentioned it to our daughter and her
19		husband on the weekend.
20	Q.	And did you speak with anybody at Norton Rose about the
21		cross-examination today?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	You reside at the property that we've identified, which
24		we're referring to as your property, and as I
25		understand it, your wife, Nancy, is the registered

Ι

1		owner of that property; is that correct?
2	A.	It is.
3	Q.	And that property bears a municipal address of 3410 -
4		10th Street Southwest; is that correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	That property is located on 10th Street between 32nd
7		and 34th Avenue Southwest?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And the Tejpar property is located approximately 200
10		metres from your property to the northeast of that,
11		correct?
12	A.	Well, it's in the same block, 200 metres, 600 feet,
13		roughly, yes.
14	Q.	In paragraph 1 of your Affidavit, you state that you
15		and Ms. Engbloom acquired your property in 1982, and I
16		have maybe perhaps mistakenly assumed that you
17		purchased it but perhaps you're using that word in some
18		other fashion. Can you describe to me what that means?
19	A.	It was purchased.
20	Q.	How long have you resided in the Elbow Park
21		neighbourhood?
22	A.	Since I was born in 1950, with the exception of time
23		away for university and travels.
24	Q.	Were you involved in the acquisition of your property?
25		Or was it solely your wife at the time you purchased in
L		

1		1982?
2	A.	I was involved.
3	Q.	And was there a dwelling house located on the lot when
4		you acquired it?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Is it the same dwelling house that's currently located
7		on the property?
8	A.	Yes, with a few modifications.
9	Q.	And your wife, Ms. Engbloom, has continuously owned
10		that property since 1982?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	As I mentioned to you before as we talked about what
13		you reviewed, at paragraph 1 you note you were involved
14		in a group of concerned residents of Elbow Park for the
15		purposes of enforcing what you described as a
16		Restrictive Covenant contained in Instrument 7648 FT;
17		do you see that reference there?
18	A.	I do.
19	Q.	I'm going to refer to that Instrument Number 7648 FT as
20		"the purported Caveat"; you'll understand what I'm
21		referring to?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	And that's the document you've attached at Exhibit B to
24		your Affidavit?
25	A.	Yes.

Τ

1	Q.	Can you tell me, sir, who the group of concerned
2		residents is?
3	A.	Yes. Well, I can tell you that the group that I am
4		referring to there would be Mr. Ferguson and an
5		informal committee subcommittee of the Elbow Park
6		Residents Association that consists of six six of us
7		who have taken been primarily responsible for taking
8		the initiative with respect to dealing with the
9		proposed development both from the subdivision, at the
10		planning planning permit, development permit, and to
11		these these proceedings.
12	Q.	Can you tell me who those six people are?
13	A.	Mr. Ferguson; myself; Hugoline Morton, who lives on
14		8a Street; Risa Desa, who lives on 9th Street; Wayne
15		Gambell, who lives on 9th Street; and Hector McFadyen,
16		who lives on 8a Street.
17	Q.	Okay. So if we refer to this as "the group of
18		residents," you'll know which group I'm referring to?
19	A.	Yes. Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. And when was this group formed?
21	A.	We first started discussing the situation as a group in
22		I think it was late August or early September.
23		Counsel, could I just go back for a moment? The
24		answer, when I said that I've lived throughout my life
25		in Elbow Park, we did live in Chinook Park for two

1		years.
2	Q.	Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
3		And, sorry, can I just confirm for you, when you
4		said we were first discussing this in late August,
5		early September, the year you were referring to is
6		2020?
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	And you joined this group of residents at or around
9		that time as well?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	How did this group or informal subcommittee come to be
12		organized?
13	A.	Well, I I became involved in it when I there was
14		a knock on the door, and it was one of my neighbours,
15		Risa Desa, asking me how I had how had been successful
16		at at the stopping the proposed subdivision on
17		3412 - 10th Street in 2017, which I refer to in my
18		Affidavit, and that led to a discussion in the
19		backyard, that Hugoline Morton joined us on. And that
20		was my my first first involvement with with
21		the group with with the issue.
22	Q.	Okay. One second. And so what is what is your
23		involvement with this group of residents, then, sir?
24		You've sworn this Affidavit to try and get an
25		injunction. What else what other involvement do you

1		have?
2	A.	Well, just participating in the group and discussing
3		ways to to, you know, oppose the development
4		proposal. So we had discussions around subdivision
5		issues, development permit issues, around injunction
6		issues, and I have been involved in in those
7		discussions in meetings.
8	Q.	Internal meetings with the subcommittee? Or other
9		meetings?
10	A.	Internal meetings with the with the subcommittee.
11	Q.	At paragraph 4 of your Affidavit, you speak about the
12		Tejpars applying for, granted and be granted
13		approval from the City of Calgary to subdivide the
14		respondent lands, and you also go on to say that they
15		applied for a development permit for a home on the
16		easterly portion of the respondent lands and a
17		development permit was granted and is currently under
18		appeal. Do you see that, sir?
19	A.	I do.
20	Q.	And how do you know this, sir? How did you become
21		aware of this?
22	A.	Well, I am aware of the application for the
23		subdivision. I guess I first became aware of the
24		formal application when the notice board went up on the
25		property. And I I have a copy I was provided

 approval of the subdivision proposed subdivision from the City of Calgary. And there would have been many discussions about that. You know, I became aware that the you know, further discussions with the group and others that the development permit, there had been an application for a development permit on a home on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	1		with a copy of the subdivision the conditional
 many discussions about that. You know, I became aware that the you know, further discussions with the group and others that the development permit, there had been an application for a development permit on a home on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	2		approval of the subdivision proposed subdivision
 that the you know, further discussions with the group and others that the development permit, there had been an application for a development permit on a home on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	3		from the City of Calgary. And there would have been
 group and others that the development permit, there had been an application for a development permit on a home on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	4		many discussions about that. You know, I became aware
 been an application for a development permit on a home on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	5		that the you know, further discussions with the
 and a approximation of the of the lot. I made on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	6		group and others that the development permit, there had
 ⁹ submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. ¹⁰ Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? ¹³ A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. ¹⁷ Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. ¹⁹ Who provided that to you? ²⁰ A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. ²³ Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? ²⁴ A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	7		been an application for a development permit on a home
<pre>10 portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB. 11 Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you 12 mentioned, went up was? 13 A. I I could only it would be in that 14 August/September time frame. I could I could check 15 my records to see if I could find a more precise date, 16 if you wish. 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you 18 had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it 21 off of the City website. I don't recall how I got 22 that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the</pre>	8		on the easterly portion of the of the lot. I made
11 Q. Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you mentioned, went up was? 13 A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	9		submissions on an appeal of that, and I listened in to
12 mentioned, went up was? 13 A. I I could only it would be in that 14 August/September time frame. I could I could check 15 my records to see if I could find a more precise date, 16 if you wish. 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you 18 had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it 21 off of the City website. I don't recall how I got 22 that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	10		portions of the appeal hearing before the SDAB.
 A. I I could only it would be in that August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	11	Q.	Okay. Can you tell me when the notice board, you
 August/September time frame. I could I could check my records to see if I could find a more precise date, if you wish. Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	12		mentioned, went up was?
15 my records to see if I could find a more precise date, 16 if you wish. 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	13	A.	I I could only it would be in that
<pre>16 if you wish. 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you 18 had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it 21 off of the City website. I don't recall how I got 22 that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the</pre>	14		August/September time frame. I could I could check
 17 Q. That time frame is fine currently. You also said you had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. 19 Who provided that to you? 20 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	15		my records to see if I could find a more precise date,
had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval. Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	16		if you wish.
Who provided that to you? A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	17	Q.	That time frame is fine currently. You also said you
 A. I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it off of the City website. I don't recall how I got that. Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	18		had a copy of the subdivision conditional approval.
 21 off of the City website. I don't recall how I got 22 that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	19		Who provided that to you?
22 that. 23 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? 24 A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	20	A.	I don't recall. I imagine the City. Maybe I copied it
 Q. And when would you have received that; do you recall? A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the 	21		off of the City website. I don't recall how I got
A. It would have been shortly after the date of the the	22		that.
	23	Q.	And when would you have received that; do you recall?
	24	A.	It would have been shortly after the date of the the
approval, so September 2020.	25		approval, so September 2020.

1	Q. And you're calling it a conditional approval, sir, but
2	you'll agree with me that the subdivision has been
3	approved, and the only step left to take is the removal
4	of the house and then the two titles will be issued;
5	isn't that correct?
6	MS. EMBURY: Counsel, if you're asking him to
7	interpret the document, the document speaks for itself,
8	and if I'm not mistaken, there are certain conditions
9	listed on it. I'm going to instruct the witness not to
10	interpret the document.
11	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And you're
12	calling it a conditional approval, sir, but you'll
13	agree with me that the subdivision has been approved,
14	and the only step left to take is the removal of the
15	house and then the two titles will be issued; isn't
16	that correct?
17	MS. REICHELT: Well, I don't think he's provided
18	it as one of the attachments to his Affidavit, but I'm
19	asking him why he's referring to it as a conditional
20	approval.
21	A. I can answer that, because as I as I read it, it
22	says "conditions of approval - subdivision plan," so
23	MS. REICHELT: The witness is showing the
24	document that is not included in his Affidavit, which
25	appears to be a City of Calgary document, just so the
	1

	0	
1		record can show that.
2	MS.	EMBURY: Well, in fairness, it is I
3		appreciate that it's not Mr. Ferguson's
4		cross-examination, I'm certain that that document is
5		attached to the Affidavit of Mr. Ferguson.
6	MS.	REICHELT: We'll keep going, then. We'll
7		look at that and circle back if we need to.
8	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And you mentioned that the
9		subdivision was appealed, correct?
10	Α.	Correct.
11	Q.	And that the only person to appeal the subdivision was
12		Mr. Hugoline Morton, correct?
13	Α.	I'm not sure.
14	Q.	Okay. Did you participate in the subdivision appeal?
15	Α.	No. I was aware of it, but I didn't participate in it.
16	Q.	And you're are you aware of the fact that the appeal
17		of the subdivision was denied, correct?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And development permit and associated appeal
20		proceedings are separate from the injunction
21		application, correct?
22	Α.	Correct.
23	Q.	And as I understand it, the development permit appeal
24		brought by you mentioned yourself making
25		submissions, that was heard on April 1st?

1	А.	Yes.
2	Q.	At paragraph 4 of your Affidavit, you also state that:
3		(as read)
4		Further, the Tejpars listed for sale the
5		most westerly portion of the respondent
6		lands as a separate lot for the
7		development of a second residence
8		thereon if the proposed subdivision is
9		completed.
10		Do you see that, sir?
11	A.	I do.
12	Q.	What's the source of your information for that, sir?
13	A.	The listing sign that was posted on the property and
14		the information in the website that I looked at dealing
15		with that listing.
16	Q.	Okay. And then you said, (as read)
17		I understand the proposed lot has been
18		"sold" to a buyer unknown to me.
19		Why did you put the word "sold" in quotes?
20	A.	Because that's the way that it had been described to
21		me.
22	Q.	By whom?
23	A.	Well, it had been described to me by Hugoline Morton,
24		who had been speaking to a realtor in the
25		neighbourhood, who had told Hugoline that she had

	-	
1		talked to the Tejpars' realtor and had been informed
2		that it had been sold.
3	Q.	When you say that's how it was referred to you, are you
4		talking about an email you received by Hugoline Morton
5		or something?
6	A.	No. A verbal conversation.
7	Q.	Okay. So you're basing the fact that it was sold on
8		something that was told to you by Hugoline, who was
9		told to Hugoline by another realtor who apparently
10		talked to the Tejpars' realtor?
11	A.	That's right.
12	Q.	I don't even know how many levels of hearsay that is,
13		but we'll just roll with it.
14	MS.	EMBURY: How about we go with several.
15	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Sir, at paragraph 5, you state:
16		(as read)
17		Many people in the neighbourhood object
18		to the development of the respondent
19		lands.
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	You've mentioned the subcommittee of six people, and
22		obviously there was a development application where
23		people had an opportunity to respond; is that who
24		you're referring to there?
25	A.	Well, no, it would be broader than that. It would

·		
1		include those, but there's been you know, I've had a
2		number of conversations with people in roots, residents
3		of the community who have, you know, voiced their
4		concern. I have our our subcommittee group that
5		we spoke of earlier, we've had multiple or a few
6		three or four two or three or four communications
7		out to a group that has expressed concern. I've been
8		involved in community meetings about this and other
9		developments where concern has been expressed. It
10		would be a wide range of how do I describe them?
11		concerned neighbours.
12	Q.	Okay. None of those individuals, other than
13		Mr. Ferguson, have sworn an Affidavit in support of
14		this injunction, correct?
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	Okay. And do you know if they intend to?
17	A.	No, I don't.
18	Q.	And we'll get to it later, but are those people part
19		out of your fundraising efforts?
20	A.	Some would be, yes.
21	Q.	Sir, if we could turn to Exhibit A of your Affidavit.
22	A.	Okay.
23	Q.	That is, as I understand it sorry, I don't mean
24		Exhibit A, because that's actually your property,
25		right? Sorry, I didn't mean that. That's the title
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1		for your property?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	And we see the purported Caveat registered on title
4		down at the bottom of the page, and it's registered
5		simply as "Caveat Re: See Caveat," correct?
6	А.	Correct.
7	Q.	Has the manner in which registration of the purported
8		Caveat and how it appears on your title changed since
9		you acquired the property in 1982?
10	А.	I don't know. I don't well, I could look to see if
11		I've got a title from 1982, a copy of the title. But
12		this is a more recent copy, I believe. It's not one I
13		provided.
14	Q.	Okay. You'll agree with me that the registration on
15		your title at Exhibit A doesn't indicate that nature of
16		the Caveat, correct?
17	Α.	Correct.
18	MS.	EMBURY: The document speaks for itself.
19	MS.	REICHELT: I think the witness answered.
20	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And, sir, do you recall when you
21		purchased the property in 1982 whether or not the
22		indication on the title was the same, "Caveat Re: See
23		Caveat"?
24	Α.	No, I don't recall. But I have I have it in my
25		file. Why don't I dig it out?
24	Α.	No, I don't recall. But I have I have it in my

Ι

1	MD .	REICHELT: Well, why don't we do this, as
2		opposed to you digging it out right now: We'll ask for
3		an undertaking that you review your files and produce a
4		copy to us of the version of the title that you had
5		from the time of your purchase in 1982?
6	MS.	EMBURY: We'll give that undertaking.
7		UNDERTAKING NO. 1 - For Robert Engbloom
8		to review his files and to produce a
9		copy of the version of title that he
10		had from the time of his purchase in
11		1982
12	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Sir, at the time that you
13		purchased the property in 1982, was the purported
14		Caveat registered on the title?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And before acquiring the property, did you obtain a
17		copy of what was registered as the purported Caveat?
18	A.	Yes. Well, yes.
19	Q.	You seemed there seemed to be a bit of a delay
20		there, "well, yes." Was there a further explanation
21		required?
22	A.	Well, you know, the clarification would be we made an
23		offer to purchase, and subsequent to the offer to
24		purchase being accepted, we reviewed the Caveat before
25		closing the transaction. So I may not have had it

1		the point of hesitation was I may not have had a copy
2		at the time we made the offer, but I did by time we
3		closed the offer.
4	Q.	Okay. I think my question was before acquiring the
5		property, so
6	A.	I wanted to clarify that.
7	Q.	Okay. So based on your evidence you just gave, you
8		obtained a copy of the purported Caveat prior to
9		closing on the property in 1982, correct?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	And that seems to be November 16th you purchased the
12		property in 1982, based on the Land Titles Certificate?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	And how did you obtain a copy of the purported Caveat?
15	A.	Well, in the transaction, Nancy and I were represented
16		by my law firm at that time, MacKimmie Matthews, and
17		one of our partners there was acting for us. You know,
18		he searched the title and provided me with a copy.
19	Q.	Okay. What did you understand the purported Caveat to
20		be at the time that you purchased the property?
21	A.	Sorry, can you repeat that?
22	Q.	What did you understand the purported Caveat to be at
23		the time that you purchased your property?
24	A.	There was a Caveat that had a Restrictive Covenant in
25		it that restricted development on the property to

1	you know, in accordance with the terms of the that
2	is set out in the Caveat.
3	Q. And in order to determine those restrictive
4	restrictions, you needed a copy of that Caveat,
5	correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Because otherwise just looking at the title, you're not
8	able to determine the nature of the Caveat or whether
9	or not it's a Restrictive Covenant or has any
10	development restrictions, correct?
11	MS. EMBURY: Sorry, is that a question that you
12	are because at the time he reviewed the title in
13	1982, we're not confident what the title said.
14	MS. REICHELT: Well, I guess we can come back and
15	answer that on the undertakings, but I feel like
16	Mr. Engbloom can likely answer me as to whether or not
17	in order to determine it was a Restrictive Covenant and
18	whether there were restrictions on it, he needed to
19	actually see the document.
20	MS. EMBURY: Counsel, I'm actually not going to
21	let him answer that. It's a hypothetical. If you want
22	to ask him factually what happened in 1982, I'm
23	comfortable with you asking that question.
24	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: Because
25	otherwise just looking at the title, you're not able to

,		
1		determine the nature of the Caveat or whether or not
2		it's a Restrictive Covenant or has any development
3		restrictions, correct?
4	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Mr. Engbloom, do you recall in
5		1982 when you purchased the property, in order to
6		determine the nature of the Caveat, you actually had to
7		read the document and obtain a copy of it?
8	Α.	Yes, to to understand the details of it. It was
9		common knowledge that this area had, you know, a Caveat
10		and Restrictive Covenant building scheme on it, so I
11		wasn't surprised to see it. But, yes, to answer your
12		specific question, you would need to read the Caveat.
13	Q.	What do you mean by "common knowledge," sir?
14	A.	Well, I grew up in the neighbourhood, and everybody
15		understood the CPR caveats in this neighbourhood and
16		other neighbourhoods in the area that were developed in
17		the '40s and the '50s, that had
18	Q.	So if you grew up in the neighbourhood, you might
19		understand that?
20	Α.	Pardon me?
21	Q.	So if you grew up in the neighbourhood, you might
22		understand that there might be Restrictive Covenant?
23	MS.	EMBURY: Counsel, he's talking about his
24		personal experience, so let's just slow down a little
25		bit. If you're asking hypotheticals related to people

1		who didn't grow up in the neighbourhood, I don't think
2		it's appropriate for this witness to answer. You can
3		ask him facts related to his personal involvement.
4	MS.	REICHELT: I'm asking I'm just following
5		up on his own evidence, counsel, so thank you for that,
6		but he's answered the question.
7	OBJ	ECTION TAKEN to answering the question: So if you grew
8		up in the neighbourhood, you might understand that
9		there might be Restrictive Covenant?
10	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Did you keep a copy of the
11		purported Caveat that you received in 1982?
12	A.	Yes, I did.
13	Q.	And where did you maintain that?
14	Α.	In a file dealing with the purchase of the property in
15		our filing cabinet in our home.
16	Q.	And do you still have a copy of this purported Caveat
17		that you received as part of the purchase?
18	A.	I do.
19	Q.	And is that the document attached at Exhibit B?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	So, sir, can you tell me, when I'm reviewing Exhibit B
22		of this purported Caveat, the legal description in the
23		agreement attached is not actually your property. It's
24		a different parcel in the building plan. Is there an
25		agreement that exists that actually references your

1		property?
2	A.	Not in the reference to our property in Clause 3.
3		But, no, this is the only one I'm aware of. It deals
4		with all the properties listed on paragraph 3.
5	Q.	Right. And I'm looking at page 3 of that document,
6		which is the agreement between CP Rail and the
7		Greenans, and it reference it's referenced to a
8		particular lot, which is purchase Lot 7 in Block 90
9		which is not your lot, correct, sir?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. And so what I'm asking is if you're aware of an
12		agreement between CP Rail and the Greenans that relates
13		to your parcel or property?
14	A.	Well, as I said, I think this does relate to our parcel
15		or property because it is listed in Clause 3. But if
16		you're asking me whether I had a similar this is the
17		only agreement this is the only document I've got
18		relating to the Caveat.
19	Q.	Okay. Thank you. Sir, have you provided this Caveat
20		to other people?
21	A.	Just a moment, please. I just want to make a note.
22		Pardon me. Go ahead.
23	Q.	Have you provided the purported Caveat that is found at
24		Exhibit B to other people?
25	Α.	Yes.

1	Q.	And who?
2	Α.	Well, the first person would have been my counsel when
3		we were opposing this subdivision in on 3412 - 10th
4		Street, next to us, in 2017. And other than that, I
5		think the other person would have been Hugoline Morton
6		on the day I spoke of earlier where Hugoline and Risa
7		and I met in my backyard and I provided her with a copy
8		the Caveat my copy of the Caveat at that time.
9	Q.	And that would have been late August or early September
10		2020?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	You didn't provide a copy of the purported Caveat to
13		the Tejpars before they purchased the lands, correct?
14	А.	Correct.
15	Q.	Did you provide a copy of the purported Caveat to
16		anyone else?
17	А.	I provided it to Mr. Ferguson, and, you know, there
18		would have been I think I provided it to other
19		members on the committee who may have asked for it.
20	Q.	And all of these provisions of the purported Caveat
21		would have happened after you provided it to Hugoline
22		in late August, early September 2020?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	And none of Mr. Ferguson or any others you provided it
25		to had their own copies of the Caveat?

1	A.	Not that I'm aware of.
2	Q.	Did you provide a copy of the purported Caveat to any
3		of the prior owners of Tejpar property?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	When the Tejpar property was first listed for sale by
6		the prior owners, did you raise the copy of the
7		purported Caveat with the sellers?
8	A.	No.
9	Q.	And you're aware that Mr. Ferguson or others involved
10		in the community association initially raised the
11		existence of a purported Caveat in September of 2020?
12	A.	Sorry, would you repeat that?
13	Q.	Sure. You're aware that Mr. Ferguson or others
14		involved with the community association first raised
15		the existence of this purported Caveat in September of
16		2020 with the Tejpars, correct?
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	And at the time when Ms. Tejpar asked about the origin
19		of the Caveat, Mr. Ferguson stated that he did not
20		know?
21	A.	I can't comment.
22	Q.	Were you present during that community meeting?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Sir, if we look at Exhibit B, again, to your Affidavit,
25		there are a number of handwritten notations on the

·		
1		document. Do you know who made those markings?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	To confirm, this is the only copy of the purported
4		Caveat you've ever seen?
5	A.	Correct.
6	Q.	If we turn to sorry, bear with me for one second
7		Clause 3 of the Caveat.
8	A.	Okay.
9	Q.	It talks about the purported Caveat. It talks about
10		"restrictive covenants similar to the covenants
11		hereinafter being contained." Do you know if the
12		Caveat that was potentially filed against all the other
13		lands had the exact same covenants?
14	A.	Am I aware? No, I'm not aware.
15	Q.	Okay. Prior to December of 2020, did you ever see a
16		copy of the purported Caveat that was filed in respect
17		of the Tejpars' property?
18	A.	Sorry, can you repeat that?
19	Q.	Prior to December 2020, did you ever see a copy of the
20		purported Caveat that was filed in respect of the
21		Tejpars' property?
22	A.	Prior to sorry
23	Q.	December 2020.
24	A.	December 2020?
25	Q.	Yes.

1	7	Did I over goo what?
	Α.	Did I ever see what?
2	Q.	A copy of the purported Caveat filed against the
3		Tejpars' property?
4	Α.	Well, I saw the I would have seen title to the
5		Tejpars' property that showed the registration of this
6		instrument, the caveat instrument, yes.
7	Q.	Did you see did you pull the instrument and see a
8		copy of what was filed?
9	Α.	Oh, I see what you're getting at. No. Well, there
10		were two things going on. So December of 2020 I'm
11		just trying to remember when I became aware that it was
12		lost. Oh, okay. No, I I I was aware that
13		okay. To answer your question, I did not check
14		Tejpars' property before December of 2020 for the
15		the instrument registered as the Caveat, 7648 FT.
16	Q.	And had you checked the Tejpars' title previously
17		previous to December 2020 to see how the Caveat was
18		referenced on their title?
19	Α.	I can't recall. I may well have.
20	Q.	Have you ever seen a copy of the associated agreement,
21		i.e., a similar agreement to what we see at page 3 of
22		the purported Caveat as it relates to the Tejpars'
23		property?
24	Α.	This is the only document I've seen in relation to the
25		Caveat.

1	Q.	So when you talk about discussions with about the
2		purported Caveat with the group of residents, is that
3		discussion that first one you talked to me about in the
4		backyard with Hugoline Morton and stuff, that's the
5		first time you discussed the Caveat?
6	A.	With that group, yes.
7	Q.	And during that discussion, you discussed a way to
8		prevent the Tejpars from subdividing the property; is
9		that correct?
10	A.	Well, it would have been broader than that, but it
11		would have included ways to to object to, restrict,
12		stop the building of two homes on the the original
13		parcel, correct.
14	Q.	And when you mean "broader than that," does that cover
15		the matters you just told me? Or were there additional
16		matters that were discussed?
17	А.	The issues, that was the development permit issues, it
18		would have been injunctive relief issues, the range of
19		issues around the process.
20	Q.	And did you discuss with any members of the group of
21		residents that were upset with the Tejpars moving into
22		the neighbourhood?
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	And is this the time you advised these fellow
25		neighbours that the purported Caveat existed?

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And that was Hugoline Morton, and who was the second
3		person that you advised this of?
4	Α.	Risa Desa.
5	Q.	Were either of them in possession of a copy of the
6		purported Caveat at the time?
7	Α.	No.
8	MS.	EMBURY: To his knowledge.
9	MS.	REICHELT: Right.
10	A.	To my knowledge, no. Yeah, thank you.
11	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And were either of them aware that
12		there was a Restrictive Covenant on their property at
13		the time to your knowledge?
14	A.	I don't know.
15	Q.	When did you first discuss the purported Caveat with
16		Mr. Ferguson in the context of the Tejpar property?
17	A.	It would have been shortly after that.
18	Q.	Had you discussed it with Mr. Ferguson prior to that?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	How did the discussion arise?
21	A.	We were having a discussion about a developing concern
22		around the development proposal and that the Caveat was
23		relevant.
24	Q.	Okay. And you said shortly after that, so would that
25		be in September of 2020?

1	А.	Yes.
2	Q.	And where were you?
3	Α.	Where was I? Well, I think we had a couple of
4		telephone calls, and we also had a meeting in Tom's
5		backyard.
6	Q.	Was Mr. Ferguson in possession of a copy of the
7		purported Caveat?
8	A.	Not to my knowledge.
9	Q.	Was he aware of the Caveat?
10	MS.	EMBURY: To my client or to the
11		witness's knowledge? You're asking him about what was
12		in the mind of another person.
13	MS.	REICHELT: Right.
14	Q.	MS. REICHELT: During your discussions, did
15		Mr. Ferguson tell you he was already aware of it?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And, sir, we already spoke about this generally, but
18		you can confirm for me that prior to the Tejpars'
19		purchase of the respondents' land, you did not advise
20		the seller that you had a copy of the purported Caveat?
21	Α.	Correct.
22	Q.	And you didn't advise the Tejpars that you had a copy
23		of the Caveat, correct?
24	Α.	I didn't know they were intending the buy the property.
25	Q.	Did you advise the seller's realtor that you had a copy

ſ

1		of the Caveat?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	Did you advise the purchasers' realtors that you had a
4		copy of the Caveat?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Now, sir, to the best of your knowledge, prior to the
7		Tejpars' purchase of the respondents' land, are you
8		aware if anyone else advised the sellers of the content
9		of the purported Caveat or provided them with a copy?
10	A.	I'm not aware.
11		I should put this in in a broader context. You
12		know, when we were objecting to the subdivision of
13		3412 - 10th Street in 2017, that's when I first became
14		aware that the Caveat was missing or lost or missing
15		its its registration. And, you know, at the time
16		there was quite a bit of community discussion with
17		various people around trying to block the subdivision
18		on 3412, which included reference to the Caveat and
19		Restrictive Covenant in the building scheme. During
20		that process, when I became aware the Caveat was
21		missing, counsel our counsel on that project wrote
22		wrote to the Land Titles Office with a copy of the
23		Caveat and asked that it be filed under under its
24		instrument number. And I never heard anything back
25		from that, and so I you know, I just assumed it was

·		
1		back where it should have been.
2	Q.	So you were aware it was missing in 2017. Who was your
3		counsel that wrote to the Land Titles Office?
4	A.	Sam Durante at Norton Rose.
5	Q.	Do you have a copy of that letter, sir?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	And of the people that you were discussing this with in
8		terms of opposing the other subdivision in 2017, who
9		who did that involve? What neighbours were those?
10	A.	Well, again, it was a group of, you know, concerned
11		concerned residents in the immediate area. It was the
12		Elbow Park Residents Association in the normal process
13		to go and seek community input to the subdivision
14		proposal, and the community went through that process
15		and was strongly opposed to to the subdivision. But
16		it was neighbours neighbours in our immediate area
17		and the broader Elbow Park community that were in
18		opposition to that subdivision proposal.
19	Q.	Okay. And did you or any to your knowledge any of
20		the other residents bring an application to have the
21		instrument reinstated at that time?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	Sir, I'm turning to paragraph 9 of your Affidavit.
24		Actually, before we go too far, let's just turn to
25		paragraphs 5 and 6. And just initially I'll have some

	g	
1		other questions on this shortly, but at paragraph 5(c),
2		you talk about the subdivision resulting in two of the
3		smallest lots in the plan area. They won't be the
4		smallest lots, though; isn't that correct, sir?
5	A.	Pardon me?
6	Q.	The the lots the Tejpars' property divided into
7		two lots, they won't in fact be the smallest, will
8		they?
9	A.	In the plan area, I I don't know in the plan area
10		what's smaller.
11	Q.	Okay. Well, you've made the statement, sir, so I
12		assume you had something to back that up?
13	A.	Oh, yeah
14	Q.	Or is that your guess?
15	A.	The process that I went through to support that
16		statement was to review the plan what's the plan?
17		3605FO, that is Exhibit C, and on on that
18		exhibit, the size of the lots are identified. You can
19		calculate them from the description on on the on
20		that plan. So I went through the process of
21		identifying which ones appeared to be the smallest,
22		smaller end of the spectrum, and did the calculation on
23		their square footage and compared that to what I
24		understood to be the square footage of the proposed
25		subdivided lots and came to came to that conclusion

<pre>1 that you have in (c). 2 Q. Okay. You're not you're not a planner, a City 3 planner? 4 A. I'm not a planner. 5 Q. Right. And you'll agree with me, as we've already 6 discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan 7 as of 1949, not currently, correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since 10 that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the 17 Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots 20 that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 25 subdivided ones that I referred to in paragraph 19.</pre>			
 3 planner? 4 A. I'm not a planner. 5 Q. Right. And you'll agree with me, as we've already discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan as of 1949, not currently, correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	1		that you have in (c).
 A. I'm not a planner. Q. Right. And you'll agree with me, as we've already discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan as of 1949, not currently, correct? A. Correct. Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? A. Correct. Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 A. Yes. Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? A. Correct. Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? A. Yes. Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	2	Q.	Okay. You're not you're not a planner, a City
 9. Right. And you'll agree with me, as we've already discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan as of 1949, not currently, correct? 8. Correct. 9. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 J. Jess I was referring to lots other than the two 	3		planner?
 discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan as of 1949, not currently, correct? A. Correct. Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? A. Correct. Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 A. Yes. Q is a subdivided lot? A. Yes. Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? A. Correct. Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? A. Yes. Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	4	A.	I'm not a planner.
 as of 1949, not currently, correct? A. Correct. Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? A. Correct. Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 A. Yes. Q is a subdivided lot? A. Yes. Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? A. Correct. Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? A. Yes. Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	5	Q.	Right. And you'll agree with me, as we've already
 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	6		discussed, the exhibit you've attached is the area plan
 9 Q. And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 14 Yes. 12 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 13 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	7		as of 1949, not currently, correct?
<pre>10 that time, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the 17 Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots 10 that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two</pre>	8	A.	Correct.
 A. Correct. Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 A. Yes. Q is a subdivided lot? A. Yes. Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? A. Correct. Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? A. Yes. Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	9	Q.	And there have been changes to the neighbourhood since
 12 Q. Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	10		that time, correct?
 13 A. Yes. 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	11	A.	Correct.
 14 Q is a subdivided lot? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	12	Q.	Like, for example, Block 91, Lot 11
 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	13	A.	Yes.
 16 Q. One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	14	Q.	is a subdivided lot?
 17 Chidleys, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	15	A.	Yes.
 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	16	Q.	One part owned by Mike Major, one part owned by the
 19 Q. Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	17		Chidleys, correct?
<pre>20 that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of 23 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. 24 I guess I was referring to lots other than the two</pre>	18	A.	Correct.
 A. Yes. Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	19	Q.	Both of those lots are smaller than the proposed lots
 Q. So I'm not purporting to take you through all of A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	20		that would be the Tejpars' when subdivided, correct?
 A. Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation. I guess I was referring to lots other than the two 	21	A.	Yes.
I guess I was referring to lots other than the two	22	Q.	So I'm not purporting to take you through all of
	23	A.	Yeah, I don't know that. I didn't do that calculation.
25 subdivided ones that I referred to in paragraph 19.	24		I guess I was referring to lots other than the two
	25		subdivided ones that I referred to in paragraph 19.

1	Q.	Okay. So you'll agree with me there were smaller lots
2		in the plan area?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Okay. And with respect to your paragraph 5(d), when
5		you talk about the
6	Α.	With respect
7	(SIM	ULTANEOUS CROSS-TALK)
8	COUR	T REPORTER: I'm sorry, we need to speak one at
9		a time.
10	MS.	EMBURY: It sounds like he would like to
11		make a clarification, counsel.
12	MS.	REICHELT: He's answered the question. We're
13		moving on.
14	Q.	MS. REICHELT: So the building of the proposed
15		home, we're looking at paragraph 5(d) where you talk
16		about "will out of context and out of 'fit' with the
17		block and the plan area in my view," that's your
18		personal opinion, sir?
19	Α.	It is.
20	Q.	And you'll agree with me that neither of the comments
21		that you've put in 5(c) and (d) have any application to
22		whether or not this injunction should be permitted,
23		correct?
24	MS.	EMBURY: Objection.
25	OBJE	CTION TAKEN to answering the question: And you'll

1		agree with me that neither of the comments that you've
2		put in 5(c) and (d) have any application to whether or
3		not this injunction should be permitted, correct?
4	Q.	MS. REICHELT: They don't they don't have any
5		application to compliance with the Restrictive
6		Covenant, correct?
7	MS.	REICHELT: Objection.
8	OBJE	ECTION TAKEN to answering the question: They don't
9		they don't have any application to compliance with the
10		Restrictive Covenant, correct?
11	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Well, I'm just going to refer you
12		to paragraph 6 of your own Affidavit. (as read)
13		This issue in this application is
14		compliance with the Restrictive Covenant
15		and specifically the one residence.
16		I provide the above in C and D for
17		context.
18		Right?
19	Α.	Right.
20	Q.	If we go to paragraph 9 of your Affidavit, you talked
21		about: (as read)
22		From my knowledge of Elbow Park, I
23		understand the development began in
24		1907.
25		And you've told me that you grew up in Elbow Park, but

1		are there any documents that you're referencing as it
2		relates to you setting out the history of the
3		neighbourhood?
4	A.	Well, I was on the website and just scrolling through
5		some of the information on there and historic knowledge
6		from, you know, my being raised in in the community.
7	Q.	Sir, can you tell me what website you're referring to?
8	A.	Elbow Park Residents Association.
9	Q.	In your Affidavit at paragraph 9, you state: (as read)
10		Elbow Park has always existed as a
11		neighbourhood of single-family homes.
12		Do you see that?
13	A.	I do.
14	Q.	And by "single-family homes," you mean one house per
15		lot, correct?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	And such a lot would include a lot that's been
18		subdivided and has one home on each lot, correct?
19	A.	Sorry, repeat that?
20	Q.	Such a lot when you talk about a neighbourhood of
21		single-family homes, a single-family home is one house
22		per lot, and such a lot would include a lot that's been
23		subdivided and has one home on each subdivided lot,
24		correct?
25	A.	Yes.

1	Q. Paragraph 5(a) of your Affidavit, the last sentence is	
2	talking about the purported Restrictive Covenant, and	
3	it talks about the effect of subdividing and building	
4	two residents and the intention to circumvent. You	
5	would agree with me, sir, as a lawyer of many years,	
6	that's a legal opinion?	
7	MS. EMBURY: Sorry, sorry, sorry. Which	
8	paragraph are you at exactly, counsel?	
9	Q. MS. REICHELT: 5(a), reading directly from:	
10	(as read)	
11	The effect of subdividing and building	
12	two residences thereon is to circumvent	
13	the intention of the Restrictive	
14	Covenant.	
15	Is that your legal opinion?	
16	MS. EMBURY: Counsel, he's not he has	
17	expressed an opinion in his Affidavit. That's fine.	
18	He can answer the question. He's not being produced as	3
19	a lawyer.	
20	Q. MS. REICHELT: And that's part of my point. You	
21	appreciate that that's a decision for the justice to	
22	make, correct?	
23	MS. EMBURY: When you say "that," counsel, just	
24	to be very clear, is the question, that as to the	
25	effect of subdividing the building, is that a decision	

1		for the justice; is that the question?
2	MS.	REICHELT: No.
3	Q.	MS. REICHELT: (as read)
4		The effect of subdividing and building
5		two residences thereon is to circumvent
6		the intention of the Restrictive
7		Covenant.
8		You're not purporting to provide a legal opinion with
9		your Affidavit; is that correct, sir?
10	A.	Yeah. I'm just trying to make a simple statement that
11		there will be two homes where there should be one.
12	Q.	Okay. Well, that's that's your personal opinion of
13		that, but you didn't swear this Affidavit as a lawyer
14		to provide a legal opinion to the Court, correct?
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	And in fact your primary practice, albeit lengthy, has
17		been corporate securities law, correct?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	You're not a real you don't practice real estate
20		law?
21	A.	No.
22	Q.	And if we turn to paragraph 15 of your Affidavit, I'll
23		give you an opportunity to review that if you would
24		like. I'm focussing on the last sentence, for your
25		reference.

1	А.	Okay.
2	Q.	In the last sentence of paragraph 15, you state:
3		(as read)
4		One residence per lot is the principle
5		element of development within the plan
6		area and Elbow Park more generally.
7		Is that your personal opinion, sir?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	You didn't review any documents that set out that legal
10		requirement or that description that you've provided
11		there?
12	A.	Just the Caveat for for the plan area, the Caveat.
13	Q.	And that is your interpretation of what the Caveat
14		states; is that correct?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Have you reviewed each of the lots and the properties
17		in the outline of Exhibit M in your Affidavit, sir?
18	A.	Which exhibit?
19	Q.	M, as in mother.
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Okay. So you're not aware whether each of the
22		properties in Elbow Park is a single-family home,
23		correct?
24	A.	Well, from living here for as long as I have, I think
25		that is certainly the nature of the community, but I

1		have not checked each home and each title, correct.
2	Q.	And, sir, are you aware of a property located at
3		1031 - 32nd Avenue Southwest, a few doors down from
4		your property?
5	A.	Can you repeat that property?
6	Q.	Yeah. It's the residential address is 1031 - 32nd
7		Avenue Southwest.
8	A.	Which lot is it? Can you tell me which lot it is?
9	Q.	Block 91, Lot 11.
10	A.	Okay. That's the subdivided one.
11	Q.	It's one of the subdivided ones, correct. That's half
12		of it which is Mr. Major's property, correct?
13	A.	I'm familiar with it.
14	Q.	Okay. You're also aware of a property located at
15		1035 - 32nd Avenue Southwest, also a few doors down
16		from your property, sir?
17	A.	Again, what lot is that? The document you provided me
18		yesterday I'm having difficulty reading municipal
19		addresses on them.
20	Q.	Okay. And the document I think you provided in your
21		Affidavit doesn't have municipal addresses on it.
22		That's the other half of the Block 91, Lot 11, which is
23		the Chidley property. You're familiar with that
24		property as well?
25	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	And, sir, is it your personal view that both of these
2		properties are single-family houses?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And is it your personal view that these properties and
5		lots thereon are appropriate for and consistent with
6		the character of the Elbow Park neighbourhood?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	Can you tell me, sir, did you oppose the subdivision of
9		that property?
10	A.	No. Just in terms of timing, we bought in '82, and I
11		think those were done right around the same time.
12		Anyways.
13	Q.	Okay. So, sir, let's go to the we're going to talk
14		a bit about the changes. So I know that you attached
15		you state in your Affidavit that: (as read)
16		A plan of re-subdivision dated October
17		11th, 1946, was registered as Instrument
18		Number 3605FO.
19		Do you see that at paragraph 10 of your Affidavit, sir?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	And you've attached that at Exhibit 6 or, sorry
22		Exhibit C, correct?
23	A.	Correct.
24	Q.	And we can refer to that as "the 1946 plan"?
25	Α.	Yes.

1	Q.	How did you become aware of the 1946 plan, sir?
2	A.	When when we were doing the research for
3		establishing the building scheme to object to the
4		subdivision on 3412 - 10th Street, that is when I
5		became aware of the that plan. I've made reference
6		to it and I had a copy of it in my file, and so I guess
7		I knew back from the purchase that there was an extract
8		from that plan that had been provided to me at the time
9		of of the purchase.
10	Q.	Do you recall if you ever provided a copy of the 1946
11		plan to the Tejpars, other than in your Affidavit, sir?
12	А.	No, I didn't.
13	Q.	At paragraph 11 of your Affidavit, you talk about "the
14		first transfer"; do you see that, sir?
15	Α.	I do.
16	Q.	When did you become aware of the first transfer, as
17		you've defined it?
18	Α.	Same thing, when we were doing our research on 3412, so
19		that would have been in the fall of 2017 and over the
20		winter over that winter.
21	Q.	And how did you become aware of this first transfer?
22	A.	A historical search of the properties and titles in the
23		area.
24	Q.	What documents did you rely upon to to inform
25		yourself of this first transfer, as you've defined it?

1	A.	The transfer. The document.
2	Q.	Do you still have that document, sir?
3	A.	Yeah, it's in Land Titles. I've got a copy of it.
4	MS.	REICHELT: Sure. I'm going to ask for an
5		undertaking that you provide a copy of it?
6	A.	Sure.
7		UNDERTAKING NO. 2 - With reference to
8		paragraph 11 of Robert Engbloom's
9		Affidavit, to provide a copy of the
10		first transfer document
11	Q.	MS. REICHELT: At paragraph 12 of your Affidavit,
12		I'll give you an opportunity to review that.
13	A.	Okay.
14	Q.	And in that paragraph you state that at some point the
15		text, which is the actual instrument, of the purported
16		Caveat was missing in the Land Titles Office?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	And by when you say "at some point the text," you
19		actually mean the entirety of the agreement attached as
20		Exhibit B of your Affidavit, correct?
21	A.	Yeah. It's Exhibit B, correct.
22	Q.	So when somebody you mentioned that you became aware
23		of that in 2017?
24	A.	Correct.
25	Q.	Okay. And how did you become aware of that?

ſ

1	A.	When we were doing the searches on titles and we
2		searched the instrument and it seemed to be missing.
3	Q.	And when you say "seemed to be missing," is that
4		because you received a certificate from the Land Titles
5		Office that it was lost
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	or destroyed?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	So when you say "the text of the Caveat missing," as
10		you've confirmed, it was in fact the entirety of the
11		document Exhibit B, correct?
12	A.	Correct.
13	Q.	And when you state at paragraph 12 that notwithstanding
14		that it was missing, it remained registered on title,
15		you're referring to the fact that there was a notation
16		on title of a Caveat Re: See Caveat; is that correct?
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	When you found out that this was a missing instrument
19		in 2017, did you share this information more broadly
20		than those that you've already spoken to spoken
21		about, sorry?
22	A.	You mean when I learned it was missing?
23	Q.	Yes.
24	A.	No, just the ones I had spoken to and the letter that I
25		previously mentioned.

ſ

1	Q.	Did the Elbow Park Community Association board of
2		directors know about the missing Caveat?
3	MS.	EMBURY: To his knowledge?
4	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Yeah, to your knowledge.
5	A.	I didn't inform them. They don't have any standing
6		under that the Caveat. But, no, not to my
7		knowledge.
8	Q.	In your Affidavit you talk about or you provide an
9		overview at paragraph 13 of what you call the "City
10		Registered Restrictive Covenants"; do you see that,
11		sir?
12	A.	I do.
13	Q.	And those were Restrictive Covenants registered against
14		lots transferred in the first transfer that you
15		referred to; is that right?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	When did you become aware of City registered covenants?
18	A.	Oh, that would have been in my childhood, because
19		that's the instrument that was registered against my
20		parents' home. But in this context, I became aware of
21		it again in 2017.
22	Q.	You were aware of a Restrictive Covenant registered
23		against your parents' home as a child?
24	A.	Yeah, this same one.
25	Q.	You have personal knowledge of that?

Т

 recall as, you know, early days in the community the reference to the caveats and restrictive covenants, so yes. But that would have been when I first became aware of it. In this context, it would have been in 2017 when I was doing the research on the subdivision on 3412. Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). A. Pardon me? MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? A. Correct. 	1	A.	Well, no, it was it was a point of discussion. I
 4 yes. But that would have been when I first became aware of it. In this context, it would have been in 2017 when I was doing the research on the subdivision on 3412. 8 Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). 9 A. Pardon me? 10 MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. 11 Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? 14 A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. 15 Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? 21 Correct. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct? 	2		recall as, you know, early days in the community the
 aware of it. In this context, it would have been in 2017 when I was doing the research on the subdivision on 3412. Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). A. Pardon me? MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct? 	3		reference to the caveats and restrictive covenants, so
 2017 when I was doing the research on the subdivision on 3412. Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). A. Pardon me? MS. EMEURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct? 	4		yes. But that would have been when I first became
 on 3412. Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). A. Pardon me? MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? J. T believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	5		aware of it. In this context, it would have been in
 8 Q. Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED). 9 A. Pardon me? 10 MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. 11 Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? 14 A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. 15 Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? 21 A. Correct. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	6		2017 when I was doing the research on the subdivision
 A. Pardon me? MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct? 	7		on 3412.
 MS. EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out. Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct? 	8	Q.	Sir, the Tejpar property wasn't (AUDIO DISTORTED).
11 Q. MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't transferred as part of what you call that first transfer? 14 A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. 15 Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transfer, correct?	9	A.	Pardon me?
<pre>12 transferred as part of what you call that first 13 transfer? 14 A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. 15 Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what 18 you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the 21 first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not 24 transferred as part of the first transfer, correct?</pre>	10	MS.	EMBURY: Sorry, you're cutting out.
 transfer? A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	11	Q.	MS. REICHELT: The Tejpar property wasn't
 A. Sorry, you're cutting out again. Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	12		transferred as part of what you call that first
 15 Q. Can you hear me better now, sir? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	13		transfer?
 A. Yes. Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	14	A.	Sorry, you're cutting out again.
 Q. So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what you call that first transfer; is that correct? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	15	Q.	Can you hear me better now, sir?
<pre>18 you call that first transfer; is that correct? 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the 21 first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not 24 transferred as part of the first transfer, correct?</pre>	16	A.	Yes.
 19 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 20 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	17	Q.	So Tejpar property was not transferred as part of what
 Q. And your property wasn't transferred as part of the first transfer? A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	18		you call that first transfer; is that correct?
<pre>21 first transfer? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not 24 transferred as part of the first transfer, correct?</pre>	19	A.	I believe that's correct, yes.
 A. Correct. Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	20	Q.	And your property wasn't transferred as part of the
 Q. And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not transferred as part of the first transfer, correct? 	21		first transfer?
24 transferred as part of the first transfer, correct?	22	A.	Correct.
	23	Q.	And likewise, the Ferguson property was also not
25 A. Correct.	24		transferred as part of the first transfer, correct?
	25	A.	Correct.

1	Q.	Sir, at Exhibit F of your Affidavit, you've attached
2		what I understand to be the same 1946 plan that's
3		Exhibit C but it's now colour coded; is that correct?
4	А.	Correct.
5	Q.	And other than the colour coding of lots, Exhibit C and
6		F are the same?
7	Α.	Correct.
8	Q.	Did you apply the colour coding to Exhibit F, sir?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	And who did so, sir?
11	Α.	Counsel.
12	Q.	And are you aware what they reviewed in applying this
13		coding, sir?
14	MS.	EMBURY: Objection.
15	MS.	REICHELT: Well, you put it in evidence.
16		We're allowed to understand the basis of it,
17		particularly again that it's not correct and reflective
18		of the current area plan.
19	MS.	EMBURY: Again, you can ask him what he
20		knows about what was reviewed.
21	MS.	REICHELT: That's exactly what I did,
22		counsel.
23	OBJE	CTION TAKEN to answering the question: And are you
24		aware what they reviewed in applying this coding, sir?
25	Q.	MS. REICHELT: So, sir, are you aware of what was

	-	
1		reviewed to apply the coding to this exhibit to your
2		Affidavit?
3	A.	My understanding was that counsel had searched the
4		titles to all of the properties that were listed, that
5		are colour coded in the blue and the green, to identify
6		this colour coding.
7	Q.	And you'll agree with me, sir, that this Exhibit F,
8		which is the colour coded Plan 46, doesn't represent
9		the plan area today, correct?
10	A.	Well, no, I don't know what you mean by that. It it
11		doesn't reflect the subdivision on 80-1, and it doesn't
12		reflect the subdivision on 91-11. But otherwise, I
13		I'm not aware that it doesn't reflect the situation
14		today.
15	Q.	Okay. Sir, we emailed to your counsel yesterday and
16		we'll put it on the screen for ease of reference.
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Which is a document that is the same plan as depicted
19		in Exhibit F?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	But is recent to the current date because it's found on
22		the My City Calgary website.
23	MS.	EMBURY: In fairness, counsel, it does not
24		reflect the blocks the lot, blocks, and lot numbers
25		or the block numbers. It doesn't have the legal

,		
1		title. All it has is the municipal title, the
2		municipal address.
3	MS.	REICHELT: Right. But still, I didn't say it
4		had the lot numbers. I said it was reflective of the
5		area plan at the current date.
6	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Sir, have you had an opportunity
7		to review this?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And would you agree with me that it reflects the
10		current area plan as of 2020 or 2021?
11	Α.	In in terms of municipal addresses, I have not
12		checked lot by lot. But, yes, it generally looks like
13		it reflects the municipal address, which of course are
14		a little different than than the legal description.
15		There we go, okay.
16	Q.	And in particular, as you described to me just before
17		we put this up on the screen, it does show the lots
18		next to the Tejpar property that were subdivided in the
19		'80s, correct?
20	A.	Correct.
21	Q.	And also across 32nd Avenue shows the other lots that
22		were subdivided in the 1980s, you said, which are from
23		Block 80, Lot 1?
24	Α.	Correct.
25	Q.	Correct. Which does not appear on your Exhibit F,

1	correct?
2	A. Correct. Yes.
3	MS. REICHELT: I'm going to ask that this
4	document be marked as Exhibit 1?
5	MS. EMBURY: That's fine.
6	COURT REPORTER: Can I just ask, can you just
7	define it for me?
8	MS. REICHELT: Sure. It's the area plan from
9	2020.
10	MS. EMBURY: Counsel, I'm not sure that it is
11	the area plan, because my understanding is an area plan
12	typically reflects legal descriptions. So I wonder if
13	you could refer it to as an excerpt from the City's
14	website, which as you say purports to reflect the
15	municipal addresses and structure of the area as of
16	2020. I just don't think you can define it as an area
17	plan because I think if you do a search at Land Titles,
18	something entirely different will come up.
19	MS. REICHELT: Well, why don't we call it the
20	City of Calgary area plan 2020, given it comes right
21	off of their website?
22	MS. EMBURY: And if you can show me the why
23	don't we say excerpt from the City of Calgary website
24	2020?
25	MS. REICHELT: Sure.

1	EXHIBIT 1 - An excerpt from the City of	
2	Calgary website 2020	
3	Q. MS. REICHELT: Sir, you'll agree with me that	
4	Exhibit F to your Affidavit doesn't reflect the curre	nt
5	subdivided properties in the area plan, correct?	
6	A. It doesn't reflect 80 the subdivision of 80-1 and	
7	91-11, correct.	
8	Q. Any others?	
9	A. No, I'm not aware of any other others.	
10	Q. And you'll agree with me, sir, that the injunction	
11	application is to enforce the purported Caveat as	
12	against the Tejpar property, correct?	
13	A. Correct.	
14	Q. And it doesn't make any reference to the City	
15	Registered Restrictive Covenant, correct?	
16	A. I'm sorry, what doesn't?	
17	Q. The injunction application doesn't have any reference	
18	to that because it's not applicable, correct?	
19	A. I'd have	
20	MS. EMBURY: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Sorry. Sorry.	
21	The injunction application doesn't have any doesn'	t
22	have any reference to what exactly?	
23	MS. REICHELT: The City Registered Restrictive	
24	Covenant.	
25	MS. EMBURY: On the Tejpar property?	

1 M	
	. REICHELT: Correct. The injunction only has
2	to do with the Tejpar property.
3 M	. EMBURY: I'm struggling to the the
4	document, the application document I just don't
5	I'm struggling with the question. Because if you're
6	saying that that particular property is not referred to
7	in the originating application, it is.
8 M	. REICHELT: No, it's not a property. It's a
9	Restrictive Covenant. What he calls the City one
10	doesn't apply. It's not registered or purported to be
11	registered against the Tejpar property.
12 M	. EMBURY: That's a legal question that
13	you're asking, so I'm going to object to the question.
14 O	JECTION TAKEN to answering the question: The injunction
15	application doesn't have any reference to that because
16	it's not applicable, correct?
17 Q	MS. REICHELT: Now, sir, if we look again at
18	Exhibit F, several of the lots are not colour coded?
19 A	Correct.
20 Q	They look white, correct?
21 A	Yes.
22 Q	And now I understand that your counsel apparently did
23	this coding, but it is in your Affidavit so it is your
24	evidence. So those lots which you state have had the
25	Caveat the purported Caveat or the City Registered

1		Restrictive Covenants discharged, correct? Those are
2		the white lots? Is that is that accurate?
3		Paragraph 18 of your Affidavit, if that assists.
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	So on the white lots that we see on Exhibit F, the
6		Caveat or purported Caveat that you attached at
7		Exhibit B, nor the City Registered Restrictive
8		Covenants that you reference are registered against
9		those lots; that's correct?
10	A.	Currently correct, yes.
11	Q.	You say "currently correct." Is that because they've
12		been discharged?
13	A.	Yeah. So those those are the ones I am referring to
14		in paragraph 18 of my Affidavit, where I show which
15		lots have had the Caveat or the City Registered
16		Restrictive Covenant discharged.
17	Q.	Okay. And how did you determine that the purported
18		Caveat or the City Registered Restrictive Covenant was
19		discharged from these lots?
20	A.	When a title search was done, they were not on the
21		on the titles currently, and when the search the
22		historical search was done, they they were shown on
23		on those titles.
24	Q.	So you're making an inference that some type of a
25		discharge was done?
L		

·		
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And you'll agree that only two of those lots, Block 91
3		Lot 3 and Block 92, Lot 3, were subject to the City
4		Registered Restrictive Covenant?
5	A.	Sorry, repeat that again? 91-3.
6	Q.	And 91-3 and 92-3.
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	So the Caveat has been discharged from Block 80, Lot 1,
9		correct?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And the Caveat has been discharged from Block 80,
12		Lot 8?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	And the purported Caveat has been discharged from
15		Block 91, Lot 11?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	As well as Block 92, Lot 8?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	And at paragraph 19 of your Affidavit, you state that
20		Block 80, Lot 1 was subdivided to allow the building of
21		two single-family homes?
22	A.	Correct.
23	Q.	And you've previously stated that a single-family home,
24		one lot on one lot is in accordance with the
25		character of the neighbourhood, correct?
L		

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And Block 91, Lot 11 was also subdivided, as we spoke
3		about, to allow the building of two single-family
4		homes, correct?
5	Α.	Correct.
6	Q.	Also in the accordance with the character of the
7		neighbourhood, correct?
8	Α.	Well, I think I indicated earlier that I didn't agree
9		with the statement that the subdivisions in in the
10		homes that were built were in the accordance with the
11		character. They are single-family homes, certainly,
12		but they are two homes on one original lot, and I don't
13		think that is in accordance with the character of the
14		plan area.
15	Q.	And to that point that you just raised, sir, you've
16		reviewed the development proposals for the Tejpars'
17		property, correct?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	And you'll agree with me that that development proposal
20		relates to a proposal to build a single-family home,
21		correct?
22	A.	Yes.
23	MS.	EMBURY: Sorry, I didn't hear the yes to
24		the first question, having reviewed sorry, I wasn't
25		looking at the witness, and so was there a yes to the

1		first question?
2	MS.	REICHELT: Yes.
3		So we've been going for an hour and a half. We
4		don't have too much longer, but I think we should take
5		about a ten-minute break.
6	(ADJ	JOURNMENT)
7	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Sir, you've spoken a couple of
8		times this is morning about and in your Affidavit at
9		paragraph 21 about a proposal to subdivide a property
10		with the residential address of the 3412 - 10th Street,
11		correct?
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	And so we can speak of the City plan, that is Block 91,
14		Lot 7, correct?
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	And that is the property that is right next door to
17		your house; is that correct?
18	Α.	Correct.
19	Q.	And that proposal to subdivide happened in 2017; is
20		that correct?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And you state "the effort to subdivide was successfully
22		
23		resisted," and that appears at paragraph 23 of your
23		resisted," and that appears at paragraph 23 of your Affidavit?

1	Q.	Actually, I think sorry, I might have cited it
2		wrong. It was paragraph 21, not 23?
3	A.	Let me double-check. That's right it's 21.
4	Q.	Right. Can you tell me what that effort was?
5	A.	Yes. So when when the lot was sold to the
6		developer, I called the developer to see what his
7		intentions were, and that's when I became aware that he
8		was proposing to subdivide the parcel and build two
9		single-family homes on the parcel. And so I I
10		alerted him at the time to the existence of the Caveat
11		and the Restrictive Covenant combination. That was the
12		Restrictive Covenant and Caveat. In any event he
13		proceeded ahead with the subdivision approval
14		application, and I and the community made submissions
15		to the subdivision authority. The subdivision
16		authority advised that all of the requirements for
17		subdivision had been met in terms of size of lot, width
18		of lot, front footage, mechanical, transportation, all
19		all the various elements, but they they
20		ultimately denied the subdivision approval on the basis
21		that the building of two homes on that lot would not be
22		in the context of the community.
23	Q.	Sir, didn't the City deny it due to the lot size and
24		that it was too small to subdivide?
25	Α.	No.

1	Q. Sir, do you have a copy of the City decision as it
2	related to that subdivision application that you
3	participated in?
4	A. I don't know if I still have it, but I could I don't
5	know if I still have it.
6	MS. REICHELT: I'm going to ask for an
7	undertaking that you review your records and produce a
8	copy of the subdivision denial or decision as it
9	related to that property, if you still have it?
10	MS. EMBURY: Yeah, the undertaking is granted.
11	He will review his own records, and if he still has it,
12	he will produce it.
13	UNDERTAKING NO. 3 - For Robert Engbloom
14	to review his records, and if
15	available, to produce a copy of the
16	subdivision denial or decision as it
17	related to the property referenced in
18	paragraph 21 of his Affidavit
19	Q. MS. REICHELT: And, sir, did you submit a comment
20	letter or submissions in opposition to that
21	subdivision?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And do you still have a copy of that, sir?
24	A. I'd have to look for them. I may have.
25	MS. REICHELT: I'm going to ask for an

1		undertaking that review your records and if you have
2		your comment letter or submissions, to provide same?
3	MS.	EMBURY: Sure.
4		UNDERTAKING NO. 4 - For Robert Engbloom
5		to review his records, and if
6		available, to provide his comment
7		letter or submissions in opposition to
8		the subdivision referenced in paragraph
9		21 of his Affidavit
10	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And I just want to clarify one
11		other point, that you mentioned that that lot actually
12		is subject to the City Restrictive Covenant, correct?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	Sir, if you could just refer to paragraph 5(e) of your
15		Affidavit for me?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	I apologize, 5(b) where you talk about history
18		exceptions?
19	A.	Sorry, 5(b)?
20	Q.	B as in Bob.
21	A.	Got it.
22	Q.	And you've mentioned "two limited historical
23		exceptions"?
24	A.	Correct.
25	Q.	Can you tell me what those historical exceptions you're

Т

1		referring to are?
2	A.	They're the ones we talked about and I identify in my
3		on 19, so Block 80, Lot 1, Block 91, Lot 11.
4	Q.	Okay. And what documents or information did you review
5		to determine that there had been no other exceptions?
6	A.	Well, part was just my knowledge that I had never
7		never seen a subdivision proposal on any of the other
8		lots. The other would be walking the neighbourhood.
9		It's apparent that there aren't apparent to me that
10		there aren't any others. And then the review of titles
11		that we did in conjunction with the 2017 initiative on
12		3412.
13	Q.	Okay. You're aware of the Elbow Park Residents
14		Association, correct?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	If I refer to that as "the Residents Association"
17		you'll know what I'm referring to?
18	A.	I will.
19	Q.	And what is your involvement with the Residents
20		Association?
21	A.	I pay my member fees.
22	Q.	And the subcommittee that you were talking about
23		earlier, is that a part of the Residents Association?
24	A.	Well, I don't know I don't know how to answer that.
25		It is a group of residents in the community. We titled

·	-	
1		ourselves well, whatever the name was, so Elbow Park
2		caveat subcommittee. But it is not a formal part of
3		the Residents Association, although yeah, it's not.
4	Q.	Does it advertise on the Residents Association website?
5	A.	I don't I don't know. I don't believe so.
6	Q.	And you're familiar with the Residents Association's
7		development committee?
8	A.	Generally.
9	Q.	Are you a part of that committee?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Are you aware that they have a website?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Did you discuss putting a copy of the purported Caveat
14		on the website with anyone?
15	A.	I can't recall. I I know there are a number of
16		different caveats and restrictive covenant regimes in
17		Elbow Park. I don't know if there was a central
18		collection depository.
19	Q.	Exhibit E to your application and a reference is also
20		made at paragraph 12, you've included an order of the
21		Alberta Court of Queen's Bench. Oddly, it doesn't
22		it doesn't have a court file number on the document
23		that we've been provided with, but an order of Justice
24		Malik dated December 16, 2020. Do you see that?
25	A.	I do.

	0	
1	Q.	I don't know why it doesn't have a file number, but
2		that was an order requiring the Registrar to rectify a
3		missing document as it related to the status of the
4		purported Caveat?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And if we refer to that as "the restoration
7		application," you'll know what I'm referring to?
8	A.	I will.
9	Q.	And what was your involvement in that application, sir?
10		Because it doesn't seem to note that at paragraph 12 of
11		your Affidavit.
12	Α.	Well, this application came about as part of the
13		initiative to oppose the the proposed development on
14		1023, and my role in that specifically was around
15		swearing an Affidavit as to my having a copy the Caveat
16		in my files.
17	Q.	Okay. So who was told about this restoration
18		application that was going to be undertaken, to your
19		knowledge?
20	A.	Notice was provided to the Registrar.
21	Q.	What about to the other people in the neighbourhood?
22		You talked about discussions, so who did you discuss it
23		with?
24	A.	Well, the committee certainly discussed it.
25	Q.	That's that informal subcommittee that you talked about

	0	
1		earlier?
2	A.	Yeah.
3	Q.	Six people?
4	A.	And
5	MS.	EMBURY: Counsel, sorry, I'm having trouble
6		because you're going quite fast. Can you just slow
7		down a little bit?
8	MS.	REICHELT: Sure.
9	COUF	RT REPORTER: Okay, so the last question I got
10		was "Six people?" And I didn't get an answer.
11	A.	Yes, it would have it would have included the six
12		people in our committee and I think probably members of
13		the executive of the Elbow Park Residents Association.
14	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And who are those people?
15	Α.	I'd have it would have been Jane Major Jane
16		Virtue was the president, Margo Coppus was in charge of
17		development, and I'd have to check the website for the
18		executive members. But that would have all been done
19		on an information-type basis and, you know, keeping up
20		with activities and developments related to
21		subdivisions and the issues in the community.
22	Q.	To your knowledge well, let's start with you. You
23		didn't tell the Tejpars about that application, did
24		you?
25	A.	No.

1	Q.	And you also didn't to your knowledge it wasn't
2		posted on the Elbow Park Association website or
3		anything along those lines, correct?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	Are you aware of anybody else telling the Tejpars about
6		the restoration application?
7	A.	I'm not.
8	Q.	And what about notice being given to the other
9		residents that have the Caveat on their title, was
10		notice given to them?
11	A.	No, I don't believe so. We were advised by counsel
12		that notice was
13	MS.	EMBURY: I'm going to stop you right there.
14	THE	WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.
15	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Do you know if how Mr. Ferguson
16		came to be involved in the restoration application,
17		given you were the one that purportedly had the Caveat?
18	Α.	Yeah. In in our group discussions around our
19		committee subcommittee discussions around the
20		process that we would be following, we had a
21		Mr. Ferguson indicated that he would be the applicant
22		on enforcement proceedings, and so it made sense for
23		him, in those discussions, to be the applicant in the
24		restoration proceedings.
25	Q.	And at the time that the restoration application was

1	made, you were aware that the Caveat had a registration
2	on a number of different titles in the neighbourhood;
3	there was an indication on those titles that there was
4	an instrument there registered
5	A. Yes.
6	Q that purported to be the Caveat, correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And you were also aware that at least from 2017, if not
9	some time prior, the Land Titles Office had indicated
10	that it was a lost or misplaced instrument, correct?
11	MS. EMBURY: Counsel, I'm not sure that's
12	entirely accurate.
13	MS. REICHELT: He already testified that in 2017
14	he found out it was lost, so how is that not accurate?
15	MS. EMBURY: You are saying that the Land
16	Titles Office indicated, and it did not just say lost
17	or misplaced, just because you
18	MS. REICHELT: We can go to the direct wording.
19	MS. EMBURY: Thank you.
20	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And you were
21	also aware that at least from 2017, if not some time
22	prior, the Land Titles Office had indicated that it was
23	a lost or misplaced instrument, correct?
24	Q. MS. REICHELT: So by at least 2017, you were
25	aware of and let me find the exact quote.

1	MS.	EMBURY: I believe counsel, just to assist
2		you, it is at Exhibit E to the Affidavit of
3		Mr. Ferguson.
4	MS.	REICHELT: It's Exhibit D to Mr. Engbloom's
5		Affidavit.
6	Q.	MS. REICHELT: By 2017 you were aware that
7		according to the Land Titles Office Instrument Number
8		7648 FT had been lost, mislaid, or destroyed and has
9		not been micro photographed, correct?
10	Α.	Sorry, you're I'm getting mixed up between
11		Affidavits and statements and whose Affidavit, so let's
12		start this again just to be clear.
13	Q.	Sure. So my question to you was, at the time that the
14		restoration application was considered in November of
15		2020, you personally, Mr. Engbloom, knew that from at
16		least 2017 the Land Titles Office, as it related to the
17		purported Caveat, Instrument Number 7648 FT, had been
18		lost, mislaid and destroyed and had not been micro
19		photographed, correct?
20	Α.	Well, no. As I as I said earlier, I became aware
21		that it was lost in the 2017 review, and as part of
22		that review, sent a counsel sent a letter to the
23		Registrar with a copy of the Caveat requesting that it
24		be filed, which I assumed it had been. So subsequent
25		to that, I would have been aware that it had not been,

Γ

Τ

1		and I would have become aware of that prior to
2		restoration application.
3	Q.	So when prior to the restoration application did you
4		become aware it had not been filed at the Land Titles
5		Office?
6	A.	Shortly before.
7	Q.	So as I understand, the restoration application was
8		filed in
9	A.	Let me pause for a moment.
10	Q.	Sure.
11	A.	No. That is so I after the 2017 initiative, the
12		letter was written. I thought it was on title. Then
13		right around the same time, it would have been late in
14		2019, early 2020, I received we received notice from
15		the owners of 1001 - 32nd Avenue seeking a discharge of
16		the Caveat from their property. And I believe I
17		believe in that conversation with counsel, they
18		mentioned that the Caveat was missing, and I provided
19		them with a copy of the Caveat. So that would have
20		been the next time that I became aware that the Caveat
21		may not have been restored as I had previously thought
22		it had.
23	Q.	So at that time you knew anybody that searched the Land
24		Titles Office would get back that mislaid certificate?
25	Α.	Can't say I made all that linkage, but, yes, that would

1		have been that would have been the situation.			
2	Q.	Do you have a copy of the notice that you received as			
3		it related to the discharge of the Caveat?			
4	Α.	I have a copy of one of the letters, yes.			
5	MS.	REICHELT: I'm going to ask for an			
6		undertaking that you provide that?			
7	Α.	Okay.			
8		UNDERTAKING NO. 5 - With reference to			
9		Exhibit D to Robert Engbloom's			
10		Affidavit, to provide a copy of the			
11		notice that he received as it related			
12		to the discharge of the Caveat - See			
13		Transcript For Clarification			
14	Q.	MS. REICHELT: And what was your understanding of			
15		the purpose of the restoration application? It was			
16	brought, and then subsequently you brought the				
17		injunction, right, so			
18	Α.	To find to find the missing to restore to find			
19		the missing document.			
20	Q.	And to ground the injunction application that was filed			
21		shortly thereafter?			
22	Α.	To ground it?			
23	Q.	So support it. Was it part of the strategy?			
24	MS.	EMBURY: Counsel, you're asking multiple			
25		questions. Let's just slow down. Is the question what			

ſ

1		his understanding of the purpose of the
2	MS.	REICHELT: Yes.
3	MS.	EMBURY: So, again, let's finish it and go
4		a little bit slower. I'm going to let you pose the
5		question, but you're going really, really fast, and
6		we're on Zoom, so we need to go slower.
7	Q.	MS. REICHELT: Sir, did you understand my
8		question?
9	A.	Please repeat it.
10	Q.	What's your understanding of the purpose of the
11		restoration application in November of 2020?
12	A.	The purpose was so that it would be capable of being
13		found when searched in the Land Titles Office.
14	Q.	Okay. And at that time had it been decided to bring an
15		injunction to enforce that Caveat?
16	MS.	EMBURY: I think that that's bordering
17		on well, it's not bordering on privilege. I'll let
18		him answer that.
19	A.	Yeah, we we were well advanced in the contemplation,
20		planning for an injunction application but had not made
21		the determination to proceed with the injunction
22		application.
23	Q.	Sir, I'm just going to take a quick three to five
24		minutes, max, to see if I have any further questions,
25		but I think I may be done. If you can give me a few

	T				
1	minutes to double-check with my clients and then we can				
2	hopefully wrap things up.				
3	A. Are your clients on the call?				
4	Q. They are, yes.				
5	A. Oh, I didn't I didn't know that. Hello, Ali and				
6	Zahra. I wish we were meeting under different				
7	circumstances.				
8	MS. REICHELT: So we're off the record.				
9	(ADJOURNMENT)				
10	MS. REICHELT: Mr. Engbloom, subject to the				
11	undertakings we requested today and the refusals, we				
12	would like to thank you for your time this afternoon,				
13	and we are complete. Thank you.				
14					
15	(Proceedings ended at 1:07 p.m.)				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Certificate of Transcript
2	
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing pages
4	1 to 77 are a complete and accurate transcript of the
5	proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed
6	from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and
7	ability.
8	
9	I further certify that this questioning was conducted in
10	accordance with the Alberta Protocol for Remote
11	Questioning, Revised 05/05/2020.
12	
13	Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of Alberta, this
14	19th day of April, 2021.
15	
16	
17	Sandra Oreher
18	
19	Sandra Dreher, CSR(A)
20	Official Court Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- INDEX-
ROBERT ENGBLOOM
April 6, 2021
The following is a listing of exhibits, undertakings and
objections as interpreted by the Court Reporter.
The transcript is the official record, and the index is
provided as a courtesy only. It is recommended that the
reader refer to the appropriate transcript pages to ensure
completeness and accuracy.
EXHIBITS
EXHIBIT 1 - An excerpt from the City of Calgary 57
website 2020
UNDERTAKINGS REQUESTED
UNDERTAKING NO. 1 - For Robert Engbloom to review 23
his files and to produce a copy of the version of
title that he had from the time of his purchase in
1982
UNDERTAKING NO. 2 - With reference to paragraph 11 49
of Robert Engbloom's Affidavit, to provide a copy
of the first transfer document

Robert	с С	
1	UNDERTAKING NO. 3 - For Robert Engbloom to review	64
2	his records, and if available, to produce a copy	
3	of the subdivision denial or decision as it	
4	related to the property referenced in paragraph 21	
5	of his Affidavit	
6		
7	UNDERTAKING NO. 4 - For Robert Engbloom to review	65
8	his records, and if available, to provide his	
9	comment letter or submissions in opposition to the	
10	subdivision referenced in paragraph 21 of his	
11	Affidavit	
12		
13	UNDERTAKING NO. 5 - With reference to Exhibit D to	74
14	Robert Engbloom's Affidavit, to provide a copy of	
15	the notice that he received as it related to the	
16	discharge of the Caveat - See Transcript For	
17	Clarification	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	***OBJECTIONS***	
2	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And	17
3	you're calling it a conditional approval, sir, but	
4	you'll agree with me that the subdivision has been	
5	approved, and the only step left to take is the	
6	removal of the house and then the two titles will	
7	be issued; isn't that correct?	
8		
9	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question:	25
10	Because otherwise just looking at the title,	
11	you're not able to determine the nature of the	
12	Caveat or whether or not it's a Restrictive	
13	Covenant or has any development restrictions,	
14	correct?	
15		
16	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: So if	27
17	you grew up in the neighbourhood, you might	
18	understand that there might be Restrictive	
19	Covenant?	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	5	
1	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And	40
2	you'll agree with me that neither of the comments	
3	that you've put in 5(c) and (d) have any	
4	application to whether or not this injunction	
5	should be permitted, correct?	
6		
7	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: They	41
8	don't they don't have any application to	
9	compliance with the Restrictive Covenant, correct?	
10		
11	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And	53
12	are you aware what they reviewed in applying this	
13	coding, sir?	
14		
15	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: The	58
16	injunction application doesn't have any reference	
17	to that because it's not applicable, correct?	
18		
19	OBJECTION TAKEN to answering the question: And	71
20	you were also aware that at least from 2017, if	
21	not some time prior, the Land Titles Office had	
22	indicated that it was a lost or misplaced	
23	instrument, correct?	
24		
25		

WORD INDEX	73:14 75:11	57 78: <i>13</i>	Action 4:23	agree 17:2, 13
	78:14	58 81: <i>15</i>	5:1 6:4 8:8	22:14 39:5
< 0 >	2021 1:18, 20		activities 69:20	40:1, 20 41:1
05/05/2020 77:11		< 6 >	actual 49:15	43:5 54:7 55:9
05/05/2020 //.//	55:10 77:14	600 11: <i>12</i>	additional 33:15	57:3, 10 60:2
- 4 -				
<1>	78:3	64 79:1	address 11:3	61: <i>8</i> , <i>19</i> 80: <i>4</i>
1:07 76:15	2101-00793 1:2	645 2:7	46:6 55:2, 13	81:2
1001 73: <i>15</i>	4:23	65 79:7	62: <i>10</i>	agreement
1023 68: <i>14</i>	2300 2:7		addresses	27:23, 25 28:6,
1031 46:3, 6	27 80:16	<7>	46: <i>19</i> , 21 55: <i>11</i>	12, 17 32:20, 21
1035 46: <i>15</i>	2nd 2: <i>15</i>	71 81: <i>19</i>	56: <i>15</i>	49:19
10th 11:4, 6		74 79:13	ADJOURNMENT	ahead 10:17
14:17 29:3	< 3 >	7648 12:16, 19	62:6 76:9	28:22 63:13
36:13 48:4	30 1: <i>18</i>	32:15 72:8, 17	advanced 75:19	albeit 44:16
62:10	30th 4:23 6:4,	77 77:4	advertise 67:4	ALBERTA 1:4
11:01 3: <i>1</i>	16	7th 2:7	advise 4:2	67:21 77:10, 13
11th 47:17	32nd 11:6 46:3,	/ 2.7	35:19, 22, 25	alerted 63:10
16th 24:11		< 8 >	36:3	ALI 1:10 2:11
	6, <i>15</i> 55:21			
1907 41:24	73:15	80 55:23 57:6	advised 33:24	7:3 76:5
1940s 9:15	3410 11:3	60:8, 11, 20 66:3	34:3 36:8	allow 60:20
1946 9: <i>17</i>	3412 14: <i>17</i>	80-1 54: <i>11</i> 57:6	63:16 70:11	61:3
47:17, 24 48:1,	29:3 36:13, 18	80s 55: <i>19</i>	affidavit 1:18	allowed 53:16
10 53:2	48:4, 18 52:7	82 47:10	4:22 5:11, 25	ambient 3:15
1949 39:7	62:10 66:12	855 2: <i>15</i>	6:2, 3, 12, 14, 20,	Amicus 2:21
1950 11:22	34th 11:7	8a 13: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>	22 7:9 8:8, 25	and/or 3:14
1980s 55:22	3500 2:15		9:6, 13, 19, 22	answered 22:19
1982 11: <i>15</i>	3605FO 7:10	< 9 >	11:14 12:24	27:6 40:12
12:1, 10 22:9,	8:12, 18 9:3, 11	90 28:8	14: <i>18</i> , 24 15: <i>11</i>	answering
11, 21 23:5, 11,	38:17 47:18	91 7:10 8:18	17:18, 24 18:5	17:11 25:24
13 24:9, 12		39:12 46:9, 22	19:2 ² 21: <i>13</i> , <i>21</i>	27:7 40:25
25:13, 22 26:5	< 4 >	60:2, 15 61:2	30:24 37:23	41:8 53:23
27:11 78:20	40 81: <i>1</i>	62:13 66:3	41:12, 20 42:9	58:14 71:20
19th 77:14	403-260-9600	91-11 54:12	43:1, 17 44:9,	80:2, 9, 16 81:1,
1st 18:25	2:17	57:7	13, 22 45:17	7, 11, 15, 19
131 10.20	403-263-5656	91-3 60:5, 6	46:21 47:15, 19	anybody 10: <i>12</i> ,
< 2 >	2:9	92 8:12 60:3, 17	48:11, 13 49:9,	20 70:5 73:23
200 11:9, <i>12</i>	403-266-1744	92-3 60:6	<i>11, 20</i> 51:8	Anyways 47:12
2017 14: <i>17</i>				
	2:22	9th 13: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>	53:1 54:2 57:4	apologize 65:17
29:4 36:13	40s 26:17		58:23 59:3, 14	apparent 66:9
37:2, 8 48:19	41 81:7	< A >	60:19 62:8, 24	apparently 20:9
49:23 50:19	46 54:8	a.m 3:1	64:18 65:9, 15	58:22
51:21 52:6	49 78:22	AB 2:8, 16	68: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> 72:2,	appeal 15:18
62:19 66:11	4G8 2:8	ABC 1:12	5, 11 74:10	16:9, <i>10</i> 18: <i>11</i> ,
71:8, 13, 21, 24	4J8 2:16	ability 77:7	78:23 79:5, 11,	14, 16, 19, 23
72:6, 16, 21		accepted 23:24	14	appealed 18:9
73:11 81:20	< 5 >	accuracy 78:9	Affidavits 72:11	appear 55:25
2019 73: <i>14</i>	5(a 43:1, 9	accurate 59:2	affirm 4:5	appeared 38:21
2020 14:6	5(b 65: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	71:12, 14 77:4	affirmation 6:7,	APPEARING 2:1
16:25 29: <i>10</i> , 22	5(c 38:1 40:21	acquired 11:15	9	appears 6:15
30:11, 16 31:15,	41:2 81:3	12:4 22:9	affirmed 4:7	17:25 22:8
19, 23, 24 32:10,	5(d 40:4, 15	acquiring 23:16	after 16:24	62:23
14, 17 34:25	5(e 65: <i>14</i>	24:4	29:21 34:17, 24	applicable
55:10 56:9, 16,	50s 26:17	acquisition	73:11	57:18 58:16
20, 24 57:2	53 81: <i>11</i>	11:24	afternoon 5:10	81:17
67:24 72:15		acting 24:17	76:12	
-		U		

amicusreporting.com 403.266.1744

	l	1		
APPLICANT 1:8	associated		bring 37:20	29:8, 12, 15, 20,
2:3 4:18 70:21,	18: <i>19</i> 32:20	< B >	75:14	25 30:2, 7, 11,
23	Association	back 13:23	broader 20:25	15, 19 31:4, 7, 9,
application 5:2,	13:6 30: <i>10</i> , <i>14</i>	18:7 25: <i>14</i>	33:10, 14 36:11	12, 16, 20 32:2,
5 7:3 15:22, 24	37:12 42:8	36:24 37:1	37:17	6, 15, 17, 22, 25
16:7 18:21	51: <i>1</i> 66: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,	38:12 48:7	broadly 50:19	33:2, 5, 25 34:6,
20:22 37:20	20, 23 67:3, 4	73:24	brought 18:24	15, 22 35:7, 9,
40:21 41:2, 5, 9,	69:13 70:2	backyard 14:19	74:16	20, 23 36:1, 4, 9,
13 57:11, 17, 21	Association's	29:7 33:4 35:5	build 61:20	14, 18, 20, 23
58:4, 7, 15	67:6	based 5:9 24:7,	63:8	45:12, 13 49:16
63: <i>14</i> 64:2	assume 38:12	12	building 26:10	50:9, 16 51:2, 6
67:19 68:7, 9,	assumed 11:16	basing 20:7	27:24 33:12	57:11 58:25
12, 18 69:23	36:25 72:24	basis 53:16	36:19 40:14	59:6, 15, 18
70:6, 16, 25	attached 9:6	63:20 69:19	43:3, 11, 25	60:8, 11, 14
72:14 73:2, 3, 7	12:23 18:5	bear 31:6	44:4 48:3	63:10, 12 67:2,
74:15, 20 75:11,	27:19, 23 39:6	bears 11:3	60:20 61:3	13 68:4, 15
20, 22 81:4, 8, 16	47:14, 21 49:19	began 6:6	63: <i>21</i>	70:9, 17 71:1, 6
applied 15.15	53:1 59:6	41:23	built 61:10	72:17, 23 73:16,
apply 53:8	attachment 9:12	beginning 3:6	buy 35:24	18, 19, 20 74:3,
54:1 58:10	attachments	believe 8:18	buyer 19:18	12 75:15 79:16
applying 15:12	9:1 17:18	9:3 22:12		80:12
53:12, 24 81:12	audio 3:16, 18,	52:19 67:5	< C >	caveats 26:15
appreciate 18:3	21 52:8	70:11 72:1	cabinet 27:15	52:3 67:16
43:21	August 13:22	73:16, 17	calculate 38:19	central 67:17
appropriate	14:4 29:9, 22	BENCH 1:4	calculation	CENTRE 1:6
27:2 47:5 78:8	August/Septemb	4:23 67:21	38:22 39:23	certain 17:8
approval 15:13	er 16: <i>14</i>	best 6:23 36:6	calculations 9:4	18:4
16:2, 18, 25	authority 63:15,	77:6	CALGARY 1:6	certainly 45:25
17:1, 12, 20, 22	16	better 52:15	2:8, 16 15:13	61:11 68:24
63:13, 20 80:3	available 64:15	binding 6:9	16:3 17:25	Certificate
approved 17:3,	65:6 79:2, 8	bit 23:19 26:25	54:22 56:20, 23	24:12 50:4
13 80:5	Avenue 2:7	36:16 47:14	57:2 77:13	73:24 77:1
approximately	11:7 46:3, 7, 15	69:7 75:4	78:13	certify 77:3, 9
11:9	55:21 73:15	Blake 2:14	call 3:20 7:13	changed 22:8
April 1:20	aware 5:5 7:25	Block 7:10	10:5 51:9	changes 39:9
18:25 77:14	8:3, 10 15:21,	8:12, 18 11:12	52:12, 18 56:19	47:14
78:3	22, 23 16:4	28:8 36:17	76:3	character 47:6
area 26:9, 16	18:15, 16 28:3,	39:12 40:17	called 63:6	60:25 61:6, <i>11</i> ,
37:11, 16 38:3,	11 30:1, 9, 13	46:9, 22 54:25	calling 17:1, 12	13
9 39:6 40:2, 17	31:14 32:11, 12	55:23 60:2, 3, 8,	80:3	charge 69:16
45:6, 12 48:23	34:11 35:9, 15	11, 15, 17, 20	calls 35:4 58:9	check 16:14
53:18 54:9	36:8, 10, 14, 20	61:2 62:13 66:3	camera 4:18	32:13 69:17
55:5, 10 56:8,	37:2 45:21	blocks 54:24	Canada 7:21	checked 32:16
11, 15, 16, 20	46:2, 14 48:1, 5,	blue 54:5	capable 75:12	46:1 55:12
57:5 61:14	16, 21 49:22, 25	board 15:24	Carbert 2:6	Chidley 46:23
asked 29:19	51:17, 20, 22	16: <i>11</i> 51: <i>1</i>	Cassels 2:14	Chidleys 39:17
30:18 36:23	52:5 53:12, 24,	Bob 3:24 65:20	Caveat 9:1, 5,	child 51:23
asking 3:19	25 54:13 57:9	Bobawsky 6:16	10 12:20 22:3,	childhood 51:18
14:15 17:6, 19	63:7 66:13	bordering 75:16,	5, 8, 16, 22, 23	Chinook 13:25
25:23 26:25	67:11 70:5	17	23:14, 17, 24	circle 18:7
27:4 28:11, 16	71:1, 8, 21, 25	born 11:22	24:8, 14, 19, 22,	circumstances
35:11 58:13	72:6, 20, 25	bottom 6:14	24 25:2, 4, 8	76:7
74:24	73:1, 4, 20	22:4	26:1, 6, 9, 12	circumvent
assist 72:1	81:12, 20	bought 47:10	27:11, 16, 22	43: <i>4</i> , <i>12</i> 44:5
assists 59:3	01.72,20	break 62:5	28:18, 19, 23	cited 63:1
assisis 33.3		NICAR U2.J	20.10, 13, 23	

$ \begin{array}{c} 20, 27, 17, 25\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 39:2, 51:9, 17\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 42:6, 45:25\\ 30:14, 12\\ 42:6, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 42:6, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 42:6, 12\\ 30:14, 12\\ 41:5, 91:14\\ 31:10, 13\\ 51:10\\ 31:10, 13\\ 51:10\\ 31:10, 13\\ 51:10\\ 31:10, 13\\ 51:10\\ 31:10, 13\\ 51:10\\ 32:25\\ 31:17\\ 76:1, 3\\ 20:11\\ 41:13\\ 31:16, 19\\ 22:11, 12\\ 41:5, 91:4\\ 41:$	City 15:13 16:3	common 26.9	contemplation	23 38.4 39.7 8	26.2 10 22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-		-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-				•
15, 18, 60:314, 22, 36:1641:17, 51:2045:14, 23, 46:1,59:16, 18, 60.462:13, 63:2337:13, 14, 1752:5, 63:2211, 12, 47:22, 2363:11, 12, 65:1277:13, 78:1351:1, 52:2continuously50:11, 12, 14, 2467:16, 80.13, 1971:13, 78:1351:1, 52:2continuously50:11, 12, 16, 17coverantsclarification69:21conversation25, 53:3, 4, 7, 1713:17, 75:340:11, 74:13compared, 38:23conversations24, 25, 56:1, 259:1, 879:17complete 76:1321:257:5, 7, 12, 13,cover, 33:14clarify 24:677:4copied, 16:2079, 20, 59:1, 9,CP 28:6, 12clarify 24:677:4copied, 96:1113, 16, 18, 22, 25cross-clarify 24:677:4completenesscopy, 61:1113, 16, 18, 22, 25clarify 24:678:9concerned15:25, 10:1, 1821:1, 12, 14, 10:3, 13, 21, 18:4clients 7:252071:6, 7, 10, 2077:19, 16, 20, 22, 25closed 24:39, 34:21concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 19, 80:7, 23closing 23:25concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 19, 80:7, 23current 53:18collection 67:1812:14, 13:132:2, 82, 03:4514, 815, 9, 17, 23current 53:18collection 67:1812:19, 80:372:23, 73:1971, 01, 12, 13:23current 53:18collection 67:1812:19, 18:17, 72:13, 72:23, 73:1971, 01, 12, 13:23current 53:18conditiona9, 64:1, 8, 15, 2373:34, 11, 12,				· · · ·	
					-
64:165:1242:642:642:642:2continuously69:1112:1681:977:1378:1351:152:2continuously50:11, 12, 16, 1781:9covenantsclarification69:21conversation25:53:3, 4, 7, 1713: 1752:340:1174:13compared 38:23conversations24: 25:55:7, 1259:1, 879:17complete 76:1321:257:5, 7, 12, 13cover 33:14clarify 24:677:4copies 29:2519:2059:16, 79CP 28:6, 12Clarify 24:777:4copies 29:2519:2059:17, 7, 18, 4:1924:2531:778:9copy 6:1113:16, 18, 22, 25cross-clear 4:12complance15:2516:1, 1816:4, 5, 7, 17, 18, 4:198:24client 35:10computer 3:15, 9, 1724:1221:4, 21:410:3, 13; 2118:4client 35:10concerned11:2516:1, 1817:6, 7, 10; 2377:16client 35:10concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 1980:7, 2324:21Closed 24:3934:2150:2, 651:1, 2440:776:1, 3concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 1980:7, 2377:16closed 24:3934:2150:2, 225551:1, 24courrent 53:1824:922:413:3, 16, 1972:2, 19:1980:7, 2354:2155:5, 10coding 53:5, 882:2conclusion36:4, 9, 2275:2351:17, 24current 53:18					-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					-
clarification 69:21 conversation 25 53:3, 4, 7, 17 31:10, 13 51:10, 14:2 23:22 compared 36:23 conversations 24, 25 55:19, 20, 59:1, 8 cover 33:14 79:17 complete 76:13 cover 33:14 cover 33:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
14:223:22CompanyCompared38:2320:673:1754:955:19.231:759:1,840:1174:13complete77:4complete77:4complete77:4cowersations24.2556:1.259:1.8cower33:14clarify24:677:4complete19:2059:1.9Cower33:14cower33:14clarify24:677:4complete19:2059:1.9Cower33:14cower33:14clarify24:778:9complete15:2516:1.1861:4.5,717.16,CPR 26:15cross-clarify24:72:1241:5.9,1481:922:11,1212:1415,717.18,20Cross-cross-claient72:5201825:420:765:12,13,2440:7CSR(A 2:20closed24:39:34:218,12,1530:2,671:6,7,10,23Crurrent53:7824:912:1413:132:2,8,2034:55corrections57:4current57:4coded53:3conditional964:1,8,15,2342:4,1151:039:759:10,11,58:2881:73conficten32:272:373:797,10,2132:2373:2current53:5coded53:62273:366:1473:340:1116:1753:555:5coded57:82273:372:2373:797,10,2113:2321:1171:10,11<					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
79:17complete 76:1321:2 $57:5, 7, 12, 13$ cover $33:14$ clarify 24:677:4copied 16:2015, 18 58:1, 16,CP 28:6, 12copies 29:2519, 20 59:1, 9,CPR 26:15clause 28:2, 15completenessCopus 69:1610, 11 60:7, 9,creat 4:12completenesscopies 29:2543:24 72:1241:5, 9, 14 81:922:11, 12 23:4,21 62:11, 12, 4,client 35:10computer 3:15,9, 17 24:1, 8, 14,client 37:252018 25:4 26:7closed 24:39 34:218, 12, 15 30:2, 676:7, 3concerne 21:4, 7,27:10, 16 29:7,closed 53:321:11 37:10, 1125:45, 8, 58:18conducter 37:28, 20 34:5coding 53:5, 8,38:25coding 53:5, 8,conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conducter 77:9conding 33:5, 8conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conducter 77:954:5, 6, 8 58:18conducter 77:9condiced 37:1conference 3:20conficence 3:20conference 3:20conficent 2:73conference 3:20conficent 2:74conficent 2:73conficent 2:74conficent 2:73conducted 77:914collectively 7:5conducter 77:9collectively 7:5conficent 2:73conficent 2:73conference 3:20conficent 2:73conference 3:20conficent 2:74<					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•			-
65:10completed 19:9copies 29:2519, 2059:1, 9, 10, 11CPR 26:15 cross- examinationClause 28:2, 15completenessCopus 69:1610, 1160:7, 9, 14, 12, 14, 16, 18, 22, 25coss- examination31:778:9completeness15:2516:1, 1861:4, 5, 7, 17, 18, 61:4, 5, 7, 17, 18, 2041:98:249:2543:2472:1241:5, 9, 1481:922:11, 1223:4, 23:152162:17, 13, 2440:3, 13, 12, 18:4client 35:10comcern 21:4, 7, 2027:10, 1629:7, 66:14, 70, 3, 465:12, 13, 2440:7closed 24:3934:278, 12, 1530:2, 671:6, 7, 10, 2377:19closing 23:25concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 1980:7, 54:5, 853:1653:2coded 53:3conclusion36:4, 9, 2237:5511, 24current 53:1854:5, 858:18conclusion36:4, 9, 2237:5511, 24currently 12:6collectively 7:5conditional964:1, 8, 15, 2342:2, 4, 1151039:7collectively 7:5conduct 77:9conduct 77:9conduct 77:926:2327:521collectively 7:5conduct 77:9confirmenc 3:20confirmenc 3:20confirmenc 3:20confirmenc 4:20, 21, 15, 2356:1063:11confirence 3:20confirence 3:20confirence 4:20, 21, 15, 2356:1067:2477:1363:1661:914:331:3correct 4:20, 21, 15, 2356:10 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-			
					-
31:778:9copy6:1113, 16, 18, 22, 25examinationclear 4:12compliance15:25 16:1, 1861:4, 5, 7, 17, 18, 419 8:24 9:254:19 8:24 9:25d3:24 72:12computer 3:15, 9, 17 24:1, 8, 14, 15, 17, 18, 2066:14, 70:3, 2410:3, 13, 21 18:4client 35:10concern 21:4, 7, 27:10, 16 29:7, 65:12, 13, 2440:765:12, 13, 24closed 24:39 34:218, 12, 15 30:2, 671:6, 7, 10, 2377:19closed 24:39 34:218, 12, 15 30:2, 671:6, 7, 10, 2377:19closed 53:321:14 13:132:2, 8, 20 34:514 81:5, 9, 17, 2354:2, 55, 10coded 53:321:11 37:10, 1135:6, 20, 22, 25corrections57:4coding 53:5, 8, 38:2548:6, 10 49:2, 37:551:1, 24currently 12:6collectively 7:5conditional9 64:1, 8, 15, 2371:23 8:2210:5; 70:11, 11collectively 7:5conditions 17:8, 74:2, 4, 1071:6 25:2021cutting 52:10, 14combinationconference 3:20corporate 44:1737:3 40:1116:15, 24 54:21cosis 51:18confident 25:13CORPORATION43:8, 16, 2355:5comes 56:2035:1824 5:7, 8, 12, 18, 52:2456:1067:24 77:13comes 56:2035:1824 5:7, 8, 12, 18, 52:2456:1067:24 77:13commenced 3:1confirmed 6:1123 6:7, 12, 13, 70:11 71:11day 29:6 77:1425:23confirmed 6:1123 6:7, 12, 13, 70:11 71:11day 29:6 77:1425:23coniois 3:416, 17, 23 11:1, 62:7 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-			
43:2472:1241:5, 9, 1481:922:11, 1223:4, 24:1, 8, 14, 15, 17, 18, 2010:3, 13, 2118:4clients35:10computer3:15, 209, 1724:1, 8, 14, 25:415, 17, 18, 20CROSS-TALK 65:12, 13, 2440:7closing23:25concern21:4, 13:132:2, 8, 2034:566:1470:3, 4CSR(A2:20closing23:25concerned31:3, 16, 1972:9, 1990:7, 2354:2155:5, 10coded53:321:1137:10, 1135:6, 20, 22, 2551:1, 24currently12:6coding53:5, 8, 38:25conditional964:1, 8, 15, 2365:17, 16:7, 16:7716:7, 16:7713, 2454:1, 6conditional964:1, 8, 15, 234:2, 4, 115:1039:759:10, 11,58:2381:1316:1, 1817:8, 72:2373:197, 10, 1232:215:5, 10curting52:10, 14collection67:7812, 1980:372:2373:197, 10, 1232:215:5, 1016:7, 14collectively7:5conditions17:8, 72:2373:197, 10, 1232:26:20cutting52:10, 14combinationconference3:20corporate44:1776: 23:20cutting52:2116: 52:20cominationconfident25:13CORPORATION43:8, 16, 2355:5daughter10:18commenced3:123:61:123:67, 12, 13, 9:14,72:1, 22:7:17 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•			
clients7:25201825:426:765:12, 13, 2440:776:1, 3concern21:4, 7,27:10, 1629:7,66:1470:3, 477:19closing23:25concerned31:3, 16, 1971:6, 7, 10, 2371:6, 7, 10, 2377:19coded53:321:1413:132:2, 8, 2034:51481:5, 9, 17, 2354:2155:5, 10coded53:321:1137:10, 1135:6, 20, 22, 25corrections57:4currently72:6coding53:5, 8,38:2548:6, 1049:3, 5,42:215:1716:1739:759:10, 11,58:2381:1316:1, 1817:1,67:1368:157:238:210:521collectively7.5conditional964:1, 8, 15, 234:2, 4, 1151:039:759:10, 11,collectively7.5conditions17:8,74:2, 4, 1071:625:20cutting52:10, 14collectively7.5conditions17:8,74:2, 4, 1077:625:20cutting52:10, 14combinationconference3:20corporate44:1737:340:1116:15, 2454:21come14:11confirmed6:112367.71443:8, 16, 2363:2464:2477:13come56:2035:182457.8, 12, 1371:1715:255:564:1662:762:477:14cominet66:118:5, 12, 1391					
76:1, 3 closed 24:3concern 21:4, 7, 9 34:2127:10, 16 29:7, 8, 12, 15 30:2, 666:14 70:3, 4 71:6, 7, 10, 23 71:6, 7, 10, 23 71:6, 7, 10, 23CSR(A 2:20 71:19closing 23:25concerned 21:214 13:131:3, 16, 19 32:2, 8, 20 34:571:6, 7, 10, 23 71:9, 18 80:7, 72:9, 19 80:7, 54:5, 8 58:18 conclusion 33:25conclusion 36:4, 9, 22 37:566:14 70:3, 4 71:9, 19 80:7, 54:5, 8 58:18 conclusionCSR(A 2:20 77:19 current 53:1854:5, 8 58:18 collection 67:18 collectively 7:5 collectively 7:5 conducted 77:9conditional 16:1, 18 17:1, 67:13 68:157:23 8:22 10:5, 71:23 73:19currently 12:6 consel 3:2collectively 7:5 collectively 7:5 condition 17:8, f54:5, 6, 8 58:18 conducted 77:9r4:2, 4, 10 74:2, 4, 10r1:6 25:20 corporate 44:17 73:3 40:11cutting 52:10, 14 cutting 52:10, 14combination conference 3:20 comportableconference 3:20 corporate 44:17 confirm 5:14conference 3:20 corporate 44:17 confirm 5:14conference 3:20 corporate 44:17 confirm 5:14conference 3:20 corporate 44:17 confirm 5:14conference 3:20 corporate 44:17 confirm 5:14confirm 5:14 confirm 5:14current 4:20, 27, conjunction si 18, 12, 27, 21, 27, 31:17current 53:18 current 4:20, 27, conjunction conscience 6:9 conscience 6:9current 4:20, 27, current 4:20, 27, 15, 23, 56:10 considered conscience 6:9current 4:20, 27, current 4:11, 12, 72:1, 22, 73:17 current 4:24, 71.6comments considered current 5:13confirm 6:11 considered conscience 6:924, 57, 8, 12, 18, current 4:11, 12, 72:1, 22, 73		-			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	clients 7:25	20	18 25:4 26:7	65:12, 13, 24	
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{consent} 23:25 \\ 24:9 \\ 24:9 \\ 12:14 \ 13:1 \\ 12:14 \ 13:1 \\ 12:14 \ 13:1 \\ 13:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 21:11 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 21:11 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 30:11 \ 35:6, 20, 22, 25 \\ 20:111 \ 30:15, 20 \\ 21:11 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 25:12 \ 38:25 \\ 21:11 \ 20:111 \ 37:10, 11 \\ 25:14 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:105 \ 71:23 \ 8:22 \ 10:5, 21 \\ 21:11 \ 20:111 \ 20:1$	76:1, 3	concern 21:4, 7,	27:10, 16 29:7,	66: <i>14</i> 70:3, 4	CSR(A 2:20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	closed 24:3	9 34:21	8, 12, 15 30:2, 6	71:6, 7, 10, 23	77:19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	closing 23:25	concerned	31: <i>3, 16, 19</i>	72:9, 19 80:7,	current 53:18
54:5, 858:18conclusion $36:4, 9, 22$ $37:5$ $5:11, 24$ currently $12:6$ coding53:5, 8, $38:25$ $48:6, 10$ $49:3, 5,$ $4:2, 4, 11$ $5:10$ $39:7$ $59:10, 11,$ $13, 24$ $54:1, 8$ $16:1, 18$ $17:1,$ $67:13$ $68:15$ $7:23$ $8:22$ $15:17$ $16:1, 7$ collection $67:18$ $16:1, 18$ $17:1,$ $67:13$ $68:15$ $7:23$ $8:22$ $10:5,$ 21 collectively $7:5$ conditions $17:8,$ $74:2, 4, 10$ $17:6$ $25:20$ 21 $cutting$ $52:10, 14$ collectively $7:5$ conducted $77:9$ 14 $29:2$ $36:21$ $date$ $9:18, 21$ combinationconference $3:20$ corporate $44:17$ $37:3$ $40:11$ $16:15, 24$ $54:21$ $63:11$ confirm $5:14$ $1:13$ $53:11, 22$ $54:3,$ $dated$ $47:16$ $25:14$ $56:8$ $6:19$ $14:3$ $31:3$ $correct$ $4:20, 21,$ $15, 23$ $56:10$ $67:24$ comes $56:20$ $35:18$ 24 $57, 8, 12, 18,$ $70:11$ $71:11$ $70:11$ $71:14$ $29:2$ coming $8:7$ conjunction $18, 19, 22, 23$ $74:24$ $42aing$ $19:14$ $27:14$ comment $30:21$ $66:11$ $8:5, 12, 13$ $9:14,$ $21:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ $72:14,$ <t< td=""><td>24:9</td><td>12:<i>14</i> 13:<i>1</i></td><td>32:2, 8, 20 34:5</td><td>14 81:5, 9, 17, 23</td><td>54:21 55:5, 10</td></t<>	24:9	12: <i>14</i> 13: <i>1</i>	32:2, 8, 20 34:5	14 81:5, 9, 17, 23	54:21 55:5, 10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	coded 53:3	21:11 37:10, 11	35:6, 20, 22, 25	corrections	57: <i>4</i>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54:5, 8 58:18	conclusion	36:4, 9, 22 37:5	5: <i>11</i> , 24	currently 12:6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	coding 53:5, 8,	38:25	48:6, <i>10</i> 49:3, <i>5</i> ,	Counsel 3:2	15: <i>1</i> 7 16: <i>1</i> 7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13, 24 54:1, 6	conditional	9 64:1, 8, 15, 23	4:2, 4, 11 5:10	39:7 59:10, 11,
collectively 7:5 colour 53:3, 5, 8 54:5, 6, 8 58:18 combinationconditions 17:8, 22 confident 25:13 confident 25:13 $74:2, 4, 10$ $78:18, 23, 79:2,$ $26:23, 27:5,$ $26:23, 27:5,$ $29:2, 36:21,$ $78:18, 21, 29:2, 36:21,$ date 9:18, 21 $16:15, 24, 54:21,$ $55:5,$ 63:11 confident 25:13, confident 25:14, $25:14, 56:18,$ confirm 5:14, $6:19, 14:3, 31:3,$ $25:7, 8, 12, 18,$ corporate 44:17, $29:2, 36:21,$ $36:10, 25:5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5$	58:23 81: <i>13</i>	16: <i>1, 18</i> 17: <i>1</i> ,	67:13 68:15	7:23 8:22 10:5,	21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	collection 67:18	12, 19 80:3	72:23 73:19	7, 10, 12 13:23	cutting 52:10, 14
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	collectively 7:5	conditions 17:8,	74:2, 4, 10	17:6 25:20	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	colour 53:3, 5, 8	22	78: <i>18</i> , 23 79:2,	26:23 27:5	< D >
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54:5, 6, 8 58:18	conducted 77:9	14	29:2 36:21	date 9:18, 21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	combination	conference 3:20	corporate 44:17	37:3 40:11	16: <i>15</i> , <i>24</i> 54:21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	63: <i>11</i>	confident 25:13	CORPORATION	43:8, 16, 23	55: <i>5</i>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	come 14: <i>11</i>		1: <i>1</i> 3		dated 47:16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25:14 56:18	6:19 14:3 31:3	correct 4:20, 21,		67:24 77:13
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	comes 56:20	35:18	24 5:7, 8, 12, 18,	58:22 69:5	daughter 10:18
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	comfortable	confirmed 6:11	23 6:7, 12, 13,	70: <i>11</i> 71: <i>11</i>	day 29:6 77:14
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25:23	50: <i>10</i>		72:1, 22 73:17	days 52:2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	coming 8:7	conjunction	18, 19, 22, 23	74:24	dealing 13:8
5:6 comment $30:21$ $64:19$ conscience $6:9$ conscious $3:4$ $79:9$ $16, 17, 23$ $11:1, 14:7$ $17:5, 16$ $16 18:9, 10, 12, 17:5, 17:5, 17:5, 17:5, 18:15, 19, 23, 24$ $16 18:9, 10, 12, 17:5, 17:5, 17:14, 17:5, 17:14, 17:17:5, 17:14, 17:17:5, 17:14, 17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:17:17:14, 17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:1$		-		couple 35:3	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5:6	connection 3:3		-	
79:9considered17, 21, 22 21:14,2:19 3:2 4:1,32:10, 14, 17comments72:1415 22:5, 6, 16,22 40:8 44:1467:2440:20 41:1 81:2consistent 47:517 24:9, 1056:6 67:21, 22decided 75:14committee 13:5consistently 3:725:5, 6, 10 26:369:9 77:20 78:5decision 43:21,29:19 67:7, 9consists 13:628:9 29:13, 14courtesy 78:725 64:1, 8, 1668:24 69:12contained 12:1630:16, 17 31:5Covenant 12:1679:3	comment 30:21	conscience 6:9		course 55:13	December
79:9considered17, 21, 22 21:14,2:19 3:2 4:1,32:10, 14, 17comments72:1415 22:5, 6, 16,22 40:8 44:1467:2440:20 41:1 81:2consistent 47:517 24:9, 1056:6 67:21, 22decided 75:14committee 13:5consistently 3:725:5, 6, 10 26:369:9 77:20 78:5decision 43:21,29:19 67:7, 9consists 13:628:9 29:13, 14courtesy 78:725 64:1, 8, 1668:24 69:12contained 12:1630:16, 17 31:5Covenant 12:1679:3					
comments72:141522:5, 6, 16,2240:844:1467:2440:2041:181:2consistent 47:51724:9, 1056:667:21, 22decided 75:14committee13:5consistently 3:725:5, 6, 1026:369:977:2078:5decision 43:21,29:1967:7, 9consists13:628:929:13, 14courtesy78:72564:1, 8, 1668:2469:12contained12:1630:16, 1731:5Covenant12:1679:3					
40:2041:181:2 consistentconsistent47:5 consistently1724:9, 10 25:5, 6, 1056:667:21, 22 69:9decided75:14 decisioncommittee13:5 29:1967:7, 9 68:24consists13:6 contained25:5, 6, 1026:3 28:929:13, 14 29:13, 14 30:16, 1756:667:21, 22 69:9decided75:14 decisionconsists13:6 contained28:929:13, 14 30:16, 1756:667:21, 22 69:9decided75:14 decisionconsists13:6 contained28:929:13, 14 30:16, 1756:667:21, 22 69:977:2078:7 78:72564:1, 8, 16 79:3					
committee13:5consistently3:725:5, 6, 1026:369:977:2078:5decision43:21,29:1967:7, 9consists13:628:929:13, 14courtesy78:72564:1, 8, 1668:2469:12contained12:1630:16, 1731:5Covenant12:1679:3					
29:1967:7, 9consists13:628:929:13, 14courtesy78:72564:1, 8, 1668:2469:12contained12:1630:16, 1731:5Covenant12:1679:3				· · · · ·	
68:24 69:12 contained 12:16 30:16, 17 31:5 Covenant 12:16 79:3		-			· ·
	-			-	
					define 56:7, 16

	I		1	
defined 48:17,	dig 5:16 22:25	double-check	75:3, 16	50:11 53:1, 3, 5,
25	digging 23:2	63:3 76: <i>1</i>	ended 76:15	8 54:1, 7, 19
delay 23:19	direct 71:18	Dreher 2:20	enforce 57:11	55:25 56: <i>4</i>
denial 64:8, 16	directly 43:9	77:19	75:15	57:1,4 58:18
79:3	directors 51:2	due 63:23	enforcement	59:5, 7 67:19
denied 18:17	discharge 59:25	Durante 37:4	70:22	72:2, 4 74:9
63:20	73:15 74:3, 12	dwelling 12:3, 6	enforcing 12:15	78:13 79:13
deny 63:23	79:16		ENGBLOOM	exhibits 78:4, 11
depicted 54:18	discharged	< E >	1:17 3:24 4:7	existed 33:25
depository	59:1, 12, 16, 19	earlier 21:5	11:15 12:9	42:10
			23:7 25:16	
67:18	60: <i>8</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>	29:6 61:8		existence 30:11,
Desa 13:14	discuss 33:20	66:23 69:1	26:4 64:13	15 63:10
14:15 34:4	34:15 67:13	72:20	65:4 72:15	exists 27:25
describe 9:20	68:22	early 13:22	76:10 78:2, 17	experience
11:18 21:10	discussed 33:5,	14:5 29:9, 22	79:1, 7	26:24
described 7:10	7, 16 34:18	52:2 73:14	E-N-G-B-L-O-O-	explanation
8: <i>11</i> 12: <i>15</i>	39:6 68:24	ease 54:16	M 3:25	23:20
19: <i>20</i> , 23 55: <i>1</i> 6	discussing	easterly 15:16	Engbloom's	expressed 21:7,
description	13:21 14:4	16:8	49:8 72:4 74:9	9 43:17
27:22 38:19	15:2 37:7	effect 43:3, 11,	78:23 79:14	extract 48:7
45:10 55:14	discussion	25 44:4	ensure 78:8	
descriptions	14: <i>18</i> 33:3, 7	effort 62:22	entirely 56:18	< F >
56:12	34:20, 21 36:16	63: <i>4</i>	71:12	fact 18:16 20:7
destroyed 50:7	52:1	efforts 21:19	entirety 49:19	38:7 44:16
72:8, 18	discussions	Elbow 11:20	50:10	50:10, 15
details 26:8	8:22 10:10	12:14 13:5, 25	establishing	facts 27:3
determination	15:4, 7 16:4, 5	37:12, 17 41:22,	48:3	factually 25:22
75:21	33:1 35:14	25 42:8, 10	estate 8:4	fairness 18:2
determine 25:3,	68:22 70:18, 19,	45:6, 22 47:6	44:19	54:23
8, 17 26:1, 6	23	51:1 66:13	event 63:12	fall 48:19
59:17 66:5	DISTORTED	67:1, 17 69:13	everybody 26:14	familiar 46:13,
80:11	52:8	70:2	evidence 24:7	23 67:6
developed 26:16	divided 38:6	element 45:5	27:5 53:15	fashion 11:18
developer 63:6	document 9:6	elements 63:19	58:24	fast 69:6 75:5
-	12:23 17:7, <i>10</i> ,	Elizabeth 8:11	exact 31:13	feel 25:15
developing 34: <i>21</i>	24, 25 18:4	Ellen 2:4	71:25	
				fees 66:21
development	22:18 25:19	email 5:9, 16	exactly 43:8	feet 11:12
13:9, 10 15:3, 5,	26:7 27:19	20:4	53:21 57:22	fellow 33:24
15, 17 16:6, 7	28:5, 17 31:1	emailed 54:15	examination 3:9	FERGUSON 1:8
18:19, 23 19:7	32:24 46:17, 20	Embury 2:4	example 39:12	4:17 5:6 8:8,
20:18, 22 24:25	49:1, 2, 10	10:7 17:6 18:2	exception 11:22	10, 11, 14 9:24
25:10 26:2	50:11 54:18	20:14 22:18	exceptions	10:1 13:4, 13
33:17 34:22	56:4 58:4	23:6 25:11, 20	65:18, 23, 25	18:5 21: <i>13</i>
41:23 45:5	67:22 68:3	26:23 34:8	66:5	29:17, 24 30:9,
61: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i> 67:7	74:19 78:24	35:10 40:10, 24	excerpt 56:13,	13, 19 34:16, 18
68:13 69:17	documents	43:7, 16, 23	23 57:1 78:13	35:6, 15 52:23
80:13	42:1 45:9	51:3 52:10	executive 69: <i>13</i> ,	70:15, 21 72:3
developments	48:24 66:4	53: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 9 54:23	18	Ferguson's 18:3
21:9 69:20	DOE 1: <i>12</i>	56:5, 10, 22	Exhibit 9:6, 12	FILE 1:2 22:25
Dianne 8:10	doing 48:2, 18	57:20, 25 58:3,	12:23 21:21, 24	27:14 48:6
different 27:24	50:1 52:6	12 61:23 64:10	22:15 27:19, 21	67:22 68:1
55:14 56:18	door 14: <i>14</i>	65:3 69: <i>5</i>	28:24 30:24	filed 31:12, 16,
67:16 71:2 76:6	62:16	70:13 71:11, 15,	38:17, 18 39:6	20 32:2, 8
difficulty 46:18	doors 46:3, 15	19 72:1 74:24	45:17, 18 47:21,	36:23 72:24
-	· ·		22 49:20, 21	73:4, 8 74:20
L			,	

amicusreporting.com 403.266.1744

[
files 23:3, 8	ground 74:20,	house 4:18	initially 30:10	JANE 1:12
68:16 78:18	22	12:3, 6 17:4, 15	37:25	69:15
filing 27:15	Group 2:21	42:14, 21 62:17	initiative 13:8	JOHN 1:12
	•	-		
find 16: <i>15</i>	12: <i>14</i> 13: <i>1</i> , 3,	80:6	66:11 68:13	joined 14:8, 19
71:25 74:18	17, 18, 20, 21	houses 47:2	73:11	JUDICIAL 1:6
fine 10:8 16:17	14:8, 11, 21, 23	Hugoline 13:13	injunction 5:1	justice 43:21
43:17 56:5	15:2 16:6 21: <i>4</i> ,	14:19 18:12	7:2 14:25 15:5	44:1 67:23
finish 75:3	7 33:2, 6, 20	19:23, 25 20:4,	18:20 21:14	
firm 24:16	37:10 66:25	8, 9 29:5, 6, 21	40:22 41:3	< K >
fit 40:16	70:18	33:4 34:2	57:10, 17, 21	keeping 69:19
flow 3:10	grow 27:1	husband 10:19	58:1, 14 74:17,	knew 48:7
focussing 44:24	guess 5:17	hypothetical	20 75:15, 20, 21	72:15 73:23
following 27:4	8:17 15:23	25:21	81:4, 16	knock 14:14
70:20 78:4	25:14 38:14	hypotheticals	injunctive 5:6	knowledge 6:23
footage 38:23,	39:24 48:6	26:25	33:18	26:9, <i>13</i> 34:8,
24 63:18		_	input 37:13	10, 13 35:8, 11
foregoing 77:3	< H >	< >	instruct 17:9	36:6 37:19
formal 15:24	half 46:11, 22	i.e 32:21	Instrument	41:22 42:5
67:2	62:3	identified 10:23	12:16, 19 32:6,	51:3, 4, 7, 25
formed 13:20	handwritten	38:18	7, 15 36:24	66:6 68:19
found 28:23	30:25	identify 3:22	37:21 47:17	69:22 70:1
50:18 54:21	happened 25:22	8:17 54:5 66:2	49:15 50:2, 18	knows 53:20
71:14 75:13	29:21 62:19	identifying	51:19 71:4, 10,	
frame 16:14, 17	hear 3:5, 8, 10	38: <i>21</i>	23 72:7, 17	<l></l>
front 5:15	8:23 10: <i>10</i>	identity 4:2	81:23	LAND 1:11
		-		
63:18	52:15 61:23	imagine 16:20	intend 21:16	4:14 7:13
FT 12: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i>	heard 18:25	immediate	intending 35:24	24:12 35:19
32:15 72:8, 17	36:24	37:11, 16	intention 43:4,	36:7, 22 37:3
Fulbright 7:20	Hearing 4:4	improve 3:19	13 44:6	49:3, 16 50:4
fundraising	16: <i>10</i>	include 5:19	intentions 63:7	56:17 71:9, 15,
21: <i>19</i>	hearsay 20:12	21:1 42:17, 22	Internal 15:8, 10	22 72:7, 16
	Hector 13:15	included 9:12,	interpret 17:7,	73:4, 23 75:13
< G >	Held 1:19	18, 21 17:24	10	81:21
Gambell 13:15	Hello 76:5	33:11 36:18	interpretation	lands 8:11
Garvie 2:5	hereinafter	67:20 69:11	45:13	15:14, 16 19:6
generally 35:17	31: <i>11</i>	index 78:6	interpreted 78:5	20:19 29:13
			-	
45:6 55:12 67:8	hesitation 24:1	indicate 22:15	interrupt 3:8, 12	31:13
give 23:6	historic 42:5	indicated 61:8	involve 37:9	language 5:15
44:23 49:12	historical 48:22	70:21 71:9, 16,	involved 11:24	late 13:22 14:4
75:25	59:22 65:22, 25	22 81:22	12:2, 13 14:13	29:9, 22 73:13
given 56:20	history 42:2	indication 22:22	15:6 21:8 30:9,	Lauren 2:5
70:8, 10, 17	65: <i>17</i>	71:3	14 70:16	law 24:16
goal 3:13	home 15:15	individuals 7:5	involvement	44:17, 20
good 3:9 4:9,	16:7 27:15	21:12	14:20, 23, 25	lawyer 43:5, 19
10	40:15 42:18, 21,	inference 59:24	27:3 66:19 68:9	44:13
granted 15:12,	23 45:22 46:1	inform 48:24	issue 14:21	learned 50:22
17 64:10	51:20, 23 60:23	51:5	41:13	led 14:18
Graydon 2:14	61:20	informal 13:5	issued 17:4, 15	left 17:3, 14
-	homes 33:12	14: <i>11</i> 68:25	80:7	80:5
green 54:5				
Greenans 28:7,	42:11, 14, 21	information	issues 15:5, 6	legal 3:14 8:22
12	44:11 60:21	19:12, 14 42:5	33:17, 18, 19	27:22 43:6, 15
grew 26: <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> ,	61:4, 10, 11, 12	50:19 66:4	69:21	44:8, 14 45:9
21 27:7 41:25	63:9, <i>21</i>	information-type		54:25 55:14
80:17	hopefully 76:2	69:19	< J >	56:12 58:12
	hour 62:3	informed 20:1		
L				

legally 7:10	lots 38:3, 4, 6, 7,	73:18	33:22 39:9	40:24, 25 41:7,
8:11	18, 25 39:19, 24	met 29:7 63:17	42:3, 11, 20	8 53: <i>14</i> , 23
lengthy 44:16	40:1 45:16	metres 11:10, 12	47:6 60:25	58:14 71:20
letter 37:5	47:5 51: <i>14</i>	micro 72:9, 18	61:7 66:8	80:2, 9, 16 81:1,
50:24 64:20	53:5 55:17, 21	Mike 39:16	68:21 71:2	7, 11, 15, 19
65:2, 7 72:22	58:18, 24 59:2,	mind 35:12	80:17	objections 78:5
73:12 79:9	5, 9, 15, 19 60:2	minutes 75:24	neighbourhoods	80:1
letters 74:4	66:8	76:1	26:16	obtain 23:16
levels 20:12		mislaid 72:8, 18	neighbours	24:14 26:7
life 13:24	< M >	73:24	14:14 21:11	obtained 24:8
likewise 52:23	MacKimmie	misplaced	33:25 37:9, 16	October 47:16
limited 65:22	24:16	71:10, 17, 23	neither 40:20	Oddly 67:21
lines 70:3	made 16:8	81:22	41:1 81:2	offer 23:23
linkage 73:25	23:22 24:2	missing 36:14,	noise 3:15	24:2, 3
listed 17:9	31:1 38:11	21 37:2 49:16	normal 37:12	OFFICE 1:12
19:4 28:4, 15	48:5 63:14	50:2, 3, 9, 14, 18,	northeast 11:10	4:14 9:3 36:22
30:5 54:4	67:20 70:22	22 51:2 68:3	Norton 7:20, 25	37:3 49:16
listened 16:9	71:1 73:25	73:18 74:18, 19	8:4 10:20 37:4	50:5 71:9, 16,
listing 19:13, 15	75:20	mistaken 17:8	notation 50:15	22 72:7, 16
78:4	maintain 27:13	mistakenly	notations 30:25	73:5, 24 75:13
live 13:25	Major 39:16	11: <i>16</i>	note 7:16	81:21
lived 13:25	69:15	mixed 72:10	12:13 28:21	Official 2:19
lives 13: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> ,	Major's 46:12	modifications	68: <i>10</i>	77:20 78:6
15, 16	making 18:24	12:8	noted 5:19	ones 38:21
living 45:24	59:24	moment 3:18	notes 77:6	39:25 46:11
LLP 2:6, 14	Malik 67:24	13:23 28:21	notice 15:24	50:24 59:13
7:21	manner 22:7	73:9	16:11 68:20	66:2
located 11:6, 9	Mansfield 2:13	months 10:2	70:8, 10, 12	opinion 40:18
12:3, 6 46:2, 14	March 1:18	morning 4:9, 10	73:14 74:2, 11	43:6, 15, 17
long 11:20	4:23 6:4, 16	62:8	79:15	44:8, 12, 14 45:7
45:24	Margo 69:16	Morton 13:13	noticed 3:16	opportunity
longer 62:4	marked 56:4	14:19 18:12	notwithstanding	20:23 44:23
looked 19:14	markings 31:1	19:23 20:4	50:13	49:12 55:6
looking 25:7, 25	matters 33: <i>15</i> ,	29:5 33:4 34:2	November	oppose 15:3
28:5 40:15	16	mother 45:19	24:11 72:14	47:8 68:13
61:25 80: <i>10</i>	Matthew 6:16	move 3:14	75:11	opposed 23:2
looks 55:12	Matthews 24:16	moving 33:21	NUMBER 1:2	37:15
lost 32:12	max 75:24	40:13	3:20 4:23 10:2	opposing 29:3
36:14 50:5	McFadyen 13:15	multiple 21:5	12: <i>1</i> 9 21:2	37:8
71:10, 14, 16, 23	means 11: <i>18</i>	74:24	30:25 36:24	opposition
72:8, 18, 21	mechanical	municipal 11:3	47:18 67:15, 22	37:18 64:20
81:22	63: <i>18</i>	46: <i>18</i> , 21 55:1,	68: <i>1</i> 71:2 72:7,	65:7 79:9
Lot 7:10 8:12,	meeting 30:22	2, 11, 13 56:15	17	Oral 1: <i>16</i>
17, 19 9:2 12:3	35:4 76:6		numbers 54:24,	order 25:3, 17
16:8 19:6, <i>1</i> 7	meetings 15:7,	< N >	25 55:4	26:5 67:20, 23
28:8, 9 39:12,	8, 9, 10 21:8	Nancy 10:25		68:2
14 42:15, 17, 18,	member 66:21	24:15	<0>	organized 14:12
20, 22, 23 45:4	members 29:19	nature 22:15	object 20:17	origin 30: <i>18</i>
46:8, 9, 17, 22	33:20 69:12, 18	25:8 26: <i>1</i> , 6	33:11 48:3	original 33:12
54:24 55:4, 12,	mentioned	45:25 80:11	58: <i>13</i>	61:12
23 60:3, 8, 12,	10: <i>16, 18</i> 12: <i>12</i>	needed 25:4, 18	objecting 3:11	originating 58:7
15, 17, 20, 24	16:12 18:8, 24	neighbourhood	36:12	outline 45:17
61:2, 12 62:14	20:21 49:22	11:21 19:25	objection 3:10	overview 51:9
63:5, 17, 18, 21,	50:25 65:11, 22	20:17 26:14, 15,	4:4 17:11	owned 12:9
23 65:11 66:3		18, 21 27:1, 8	25:24 27:7	
		,, 0		

39:16	participating	65: <i>11</i>	properties 28:4	provisions
owner 11:1	participating 15:2	poor 3:7	45:16, 22 47:2,	29:20
owners 7:9	particular 28:8	portion 15:16	4 48:22 54:4	pull 32:7
30:3, 6 73:15	55:16 58:6	16:8 19:5	57:5	-
30.3, 0 73.75				purchase 23:5,
< P >	particularly	portions 16:10	property 7:9, 14	10, 23, 24 27:14,
-	53:17	pose 75:4	8: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 9 10:23,	17 28:8 35:19
p.m 76:15	PARTIES 2:1	possession	24 11:1, 3, 6, 9,	36:7 48:7, 9
pads 3:14	partners 24:17	34:5 35:6	<i>10</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>24</i> 12:7,	78:19
pages 77:3	patient 3:12	posted 19:13	10 15:25 19:13	purchased
78:8	pause 73:9	70:2	21:24 22:1, 9,	11:17, 19, 25
papers 3:14	pay 66:21	potentially 31:12	21 23:13, 16	22:21 23:13
paragraph 5:18,	people 13:12	practice 44: <i>16</i> ,	24:5, 9, 12, 20,	24:11, 20, 23
19, 20, 22 11:14	20:17, 21, 23	19	23, 25 26:5	26:5 29:13
12:13 15:11	21:2, 18 26:25	precise 16:15	27:14, 23 28:1,	purchasers 36:3
19:2 20:15	28:20, 24 36:17	prepare 8:24	2, 13, 15 30:3, 5	purchases 8:4
28:4 37:23	37:7 68:21	10:6	31: <i>17</i> , <i>21</i> 32:3,	purported 9:9
38:1 39:25	69:3, 10, 12, 14	present 4:18, 19	5, 14, 23 33:8	12:20 22:3, 7
40:4, 15 41:12,	perfect 3:13	30:22	34:12, 16 35:24	23:13, 17 24:8,
20 42:9 43:1, 8	permit 13:10	president 69:16	38:6 46:2, <i>4</i> , 5,	14, 19, 22 27:11,
44:22 45:2	15:5, 15, 17	prevent 33:8	12, 14, 16, 23, 24	16, 22 28:23
47:19 48:13	16:6, 7 18: <i>19</i> ,	previous 32:17	47:9 52:8, 11,	29:12, 15, 20
49:8, 11, 14	23 33:17	previously	17, 20, 23 55:18	30:2, 7, 11, 15
50:13 51:9	permitted 40:22	32:16 50:25	57:12, 25 58:2,	31:3, 9, 16, 20
59:3, 14 60:19	41:3 81:5	60:23 73:21	6, 8, <i>11</i> 61: <i>1</i> 7	32:2, 22 33:2,
62:9, 23 63:2	person 18:11	primarily 8:3	62:9, 16 64:9,	25 34:6, 15
64:18 65:8, 14	29:2, 5 34:3	13:7	17 73:16 79:4	35:7, 20 36:9
67:20 68:10	35:12	primary 44:16	proposal 15:4	43:2 49:15
78:22 79:4, 10	personal 26:24	principle 45:4	34:22 37:14, 18	57:11 58:10, 25
paragraphs 37:25	27:3 40:18 44:12 45:7	prior 7:17 8:7 24:8 30:3, 6	61: <i>19, 20</i> 62:9, 196:7	59:6, 17 60:14 67:13 68:4
parcel 27:24	47:1, 4 51:25	31:15, 19, 22	proposals 61:16	71:6 72:17
28:13, 14 33:13	personally 72:15	34:18 35:18	proposed 13:9	purportedly
63:8, 9	photographed	36:6 71:9, 22	14:16 16:2	70:17
Pardon 26:20	72:9, 19	73:1, 3 81:21	19:8, 17 38:24	purporting
28:22 38:5 52:9	Plan 7:10 8:12,	privilege 75:17	39:19 40:14	39:22 44:8
parents 51:20,	18 9:2, 3, 11, 15,	proceed 5:14	68:13	purports 56:14
23	18, 21 17:22	75:21	proposing 63:8	purpose 74:15
Park 11:20	27:24 38:3, 9,	proceeded	Protocol 77:10	75:1, 10, 12
12:14 13:5, 25	16, 20 39:6	63:13	provide 3:13	purposes 12:15
37:12, 17 41:22,	40:2, 17 45:5,	Proceedings	29:12, 15 30:2	put 19:19
25 42:8, 10	12 47:16, 24	3:1, 14, 18	41:16 44:8, 14	36:11 40:21
45:6, 22 47:6	48:1, 5, 8, 11	13: <i>11</i> 18:20	49:5, 9 51:8	41:2 53:15
51:1 66:13	53:2, 18 54:8, 9,	70:22, 24 76:15	65:2, 6 74:6, 10	54:16 55:17
67:1, 17 69:13	18 55:5, 10	77:5	78:23 79:8, 14	81:3
70:2	56:8, 11, 17, 20	process 33:19	provided 6:20	putting 67:13
part 21: <i>18</i>	57:5 61:14	36:20 37:12, 14	9:2 15:25	-
27:17 39:16	62:13	38:15, 20 70:20	16: <i>19</i> 17: <i>17</i>	< Q >
43:20 52:12, 17,	planner 39:2, 3,	produce 23:3, 8	22:13 24:18	QUEEN'S 1:4
20, 24 66:6, 23	4	64:7, 12, 15	28:19, 23 29:7,	4:22 67:21
67:2, 9 68:12	planning 13:10	78:18 79:2	17, 18, 21, 24	question 3:6
72:21 74:23	75:20	produced 43:18	36:9 45: <i>10</i>	17: <i>11</i> 24: <i>4</i>
participate	point 4:16 24:1	professional	46: <i>17</i> , 20 48:8,	25:11, 23, 24
18: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>	43:20 49:14, 18	7:17	10 67:23 68:20	26:12 27:6, 7
participated	52: <i>1</i> 61: <i>15</i>	project 36:21	73:18 78:7	32:13 40:12, 25
64:3			Province 77:13	41:8 43:18, 24

44:1 53:23	reconnecting	registered	remain 6:25	respondent
58:5, 12, 13, 14	3:19	10:25 22:3, 4	remained 50:14	15: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 6 19: <i>5</i>
61:24 62:1	record 3:7, 13,	23:14, 17 32:15	remember 32:11	20:18
69:9 71:20	23 4:3 18:1	47:17 50:14	Remote 77:10	RESPONDENTS
72:13 74:25	76:8 78:6	51:10, 13, 17, 19,	removal 17:3,	1:10 2:11 4:11
75:5, 8 80:2, 9,	records 16:15	22 57:15, 23	14 80:6	7:2, 13 35:19
16 81:1, 7, 11,	64:7, 11, 14	58:10, 11, 25	Renee 2:12	36:7
15, 19	65:1, 5 79:2, 8	59:7, 8, 15, 18	repeat 24:21	responsible
questioned 4:7	rectify 68:2	60:4 71:4	30:12 31:18	13:7
Questioning	refer 5:1 6:2	REGISTRAR	42:19 46:5	restoration 68:6,
1:16 77:9, 11	7:5 8:14, 19	1:10 4:13 68:2,	60:5 75:9	17 70:6, 16, 24,
questions 4:1	9:9 12:19	20 72:23	Reporter 2:19	25 72:14 73:2,
38:1 74:25	13:17 14:17	registration	3:2 4:1 40:8	3, 7 74:15 75:11
75:24	41:11 47:24	22:7, 14 32:5	56:6 69:9	restore 74:18
quick 75:23	56:13 65:14	36:15 71:1	77:20 78:5	restored 73:21
quite 36:16	66:16 68:6 78:8	Reichelt 2:12	Reporting 2:21	restrict 33:11
69:6	reference 12:17	4:6, 8, 9 10:8, 9	represent 54:8	restricted 24:25
quote 71:25	28:2, 7 36:18	17:17, 23 18:6,	represented	restrictions
quotes 19:19	44:25 48:5	8 20:15 22:19,	24:15	25:4, 10, 18
	49:7 52:3	20 23:1, 12	represents 4:15	26:3 80:13
< R >	54:16 57:14, 17,	25:14 26:4	requested	Restrictive
Rail 28:6, 12	22 58:15 59:8	27:4, 10 34:9,	76:11 78:16	12:16 24:24
raise 30:6	67:19 74:8	11 35:13, 14	requesting	25:3, 9, 17 26:2,
raised 30:10, 14	78:22 79:13	40:12, 14 41:4,	72:23	10, 22 27:9
42:6 61:15	81:16	7, 11 43:9, 20	required 23:21	31:10 34:12
range 21:10	referenced 28:7	44:2, 3 49:4, 11	requirement	36:19 41:5, 10,
33:18	32:18 64:17	51:4 52: <i>11</i>	45: <i>10</i>	14 43:2, 13
read 5:22	65:8 79:4, 10	53:15, 21, 25	requirements	44:6 51:10, 13,
17:21 19:3, 16	references	55:3, 6 56:3, 8,	63: <i>16</i>	22 52:3 57:15,
20:16 26:7, 12	27:25	19, 25 57:3, 23	requiring 68:2	23 58:9 59:1, 7,
41:12, 21 42:9	referencing 42:1	58:1, 8, 17 62:2,	research 48:2,	16, 18 60:4
43:10 44:3	referred 5:21	7 64:6, 19, 25	18 52:6	63:11, 12 65:12
45:3 47:15	9:5, 11 20:3	65:10 69:8, 14	reside 10:23	67:16 80:12, 18
reader 78:8	39:25 51:15	70:15 71:13, 18,	resided 11:20	81:9
reading 43:9	58:6	24 72:4, 6 74:5,	residence 19:7	re-subdivision
46:18	referring 5:2	14 75:2, 7 76:8,	41:15 45:4	47:16
reads 5:21	7:6, 14 8:15	10	residences	resulting 38:2
ready 4:4	10:24 12:21	reinstated 37:21	43:12 44:5	review 23:3, 8
real 8:4 44:19	13:4, 18 14:5	relate 28:14	resident 7:9	38:16 44:23
really 75:5	17:19 20:24	related 26:25	residential 46:6	45:9 49:12
realtor 19:24	39:24 42:7	27:3 64:2, 9, 17	62:10	55:7 64:7, 11,
20:1, 9, 10 35:25	50:15 59:13	68:3 69:20	residents 12:14	14 65:1, 5 66:4,
realtors 36:3	66:1, 17 68:7	72:16 74:3, 11	13:2, 6, 18 14:8,	10 72:21, 22
recall 16:20, 21,	reflect 54:11, 12,	79:4, 15	23 21:2 33:2,	78:17 79:1, 7
23 22:20, 24	13, 24 56:14	relates 5:5	21 37:11, 12, 20	reviewed 8:7,
26:4 32:19	57:4, 6	28:12 32:22	42:8 43:4	25 9:1, 3 12:13
48:10 52:2	reflective 53:17	42:2 61:20	66:13, 16, 19, 23,	23:24 25:12
67:15	55:4	relating 28:18	25 67:3, 4, 6	45:16 53:12, 20,
received 5:9	reflects 55:9, 13	relation 32:24	69:13 70:9	24 54:1 61:16,
16:23 20:4	56:12	relationship	resisted 62:23	24 81:12
27:11, 17 50:4	refusals 76:11	7:17	respect 13:8	reviewing 27:21
73:14 74:2, 11	regarding 9:24	relevant 34:23	31:16, 20 40:4, 6	Revised 77:11
79:15	10:13	relief 5:6 33:18	respond 20:23	Risa 13: <i>14</i>
recommended	regimes 67:16	rely 48:24		14:15 29:6 34:4
78:7				

ROBERT 1:17	shorthand 77:5,	situation 13:21	start 69:22	18:9, 11, 14, 17
4:7 23:7 49:8	6	54:13 74:1	72:12	19:8 29:3
64:13 65:4	shortly 16:24	size 38:18	started 13:21	36:12, 17 37:8,
74:9 78:2, 17,	34:17, 24 38:1	63:17, 23	state 11:14	13, 15, 18 38:2
23 79:1, 7, 14	73:6 74:21	skill 77:6	19:2 20:15	47:8 48:4 52:6
role 68:14	show 18:1	slow 26:24	42:9 45:2	54:11, 12 57:6
roll 20:13	55:17 56:22	69:6 74:25	47:15 49:14	63:13, 15, 17, 20
roots 21:2	59:14	slower 75:4, 6	50:13 58:24	64:2, 8, 16, 21
Rose 7:20 8:1,	showed 32:5	small 63:24	60:19 62:22	65:8 66:7 79:3,
4 10:20 37:4	showing 17:23	smaller 38: <i>10</i> ,	stated 30:19	10 80:4
roughly 11:13	shown 59:22	22 39:19 40:1	60:23	subdivisions
	shows 55:21	smallest 38:3, 4,	statement 38:11,	5:21 61:9 69:21
< S >	sign 19: <i>13</i>	7, 21	<i>16</i> 44: <i>10</i> 61:9	subject 60:3
sale 19:4 30:5	signature 6:15,	sold 19: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>	statements	65:12 76:10
Sam 37:4	17	20:2, 7 63:5	72:11	submissions
Sandra 2:20	similar 28:16	solely 11:25	states 45:14	16:9 18:25
77:19	31:10 32:21	somebody 49:22	status 68:3	63:14 64:20
Saturday 10:5	simple 44:10	son 10: <i>1</i> 6	step 17:3, 14	65:2, 7 79:9
scheme 26:10	simply 22:5	Sophie 2:13	80:5	submit 64:19
36:19 48:3	SIMULTANEOUS	sorry 9:20	stop 3:17	subsequent
screen 54:16	40:7	10: <i>1</i> 7 14:3	33:12 70:13	5:21 23:23
55:17	single-family	21:23, 25 24:2 <i>1</i>	stopping 14:16	72:24
scrolling 42:4	42:11, 14, 21	25:11 30:12	strategy 74:23	subsequently
SDAB 16:10	45:22 47:2	31:6, 18, 22	Street 2:15	74:16
search 48:22	60: <i>21</i> , <i>2</i> 3 61:3,	40:8 42:19	11: <i>4</i> , 6 13: <i>14</i> ,	successful
56:17 59:20, 21,	11, 20 63:9	43:7 47:21	15, 16 14:17	14:15
22	sir 4:9, 22 5:25	50:21 52:10, 14	29:4 36:13	successfully
searched 24:18	6:2, 6, 11, 17	57:16, 20 60:5	48:4 62:10	62:22
50:2 54:3	7:2 8:17, 20	61:23, 24 63: <i>1</i>	strongly 37:15	suggest 5:17
73:23 75:13	9:7 13: <i>1</i> 14:23	65:19 69:5	structure 56:15	support 21:13
searches 50:1	15:18, 20 17:1,	70:14 72:10	struggling 58:3,	38:15 74:23
securities 44:17	12 19:10, 12	sounds 40:10	5	surprised 26:11
seek 37:13	20:15 21:21	source 19:12	stuff 33:4	SW 2:7, 15
seeking 73:15	22:20 23:12	Southwest 11:4,	subcommittee	swear 44:13
seller 35:20	26:13 27:21	7 46:3, 7, 15	13:5 14: <i>11</i>	swearing 68:15
sellers 30:7	28:9, 19 30:24	speak 9:24	15:8, 10 20:21	swore 4:22 6:3,
36:8	35:17 36:6	10:4, 12, 20	21:4 66:22	19
seller's 35:25	37:5, 23 38:4,	15:11 40:8	67:2 68:25	sworn 1:18
sense 8:20	11 40:18 42:7	62:13	70:19	6:16 8:8 14:24
70:22	43:5 44:9 45:7,	speaking 3:5	subdivide 15:13	21:13
sentence 5:20	17 46:2, 16	19:24	62:9, 19, 22	
43:1 44:24 45:2	47:1, 8, 13, 19	speaks 17:7	63:8, 24	< T >
separate 18:20	48:1, 11, 14	22:18	subdivided	T2P 2:8, 16
19:6	49:2 51:11	specific 26:12	38:25 39:14, 20,	talk 33:1 38:2
September	52:8, 15 53:1, 8,	specifically	25 42:18, 23	40:5, 15 42:20
13:22 14:5	10, 13, 24, 25	41:15 68:14	46:10, 11 55:18,	47:13 48:13
16:25 29:9, 22	54:7, 15 55:6	spectrum 38:22	22 57:5 60:20	51:8 65:17
30:11, 15 34:25	57:3, 10 58:17	spell 3:23, 24	61:2	talked 12:12
served 4:14	61: <i>15</i> 62:7	spoke 21:5	subdividing	20:1, 10 33:3
services 8:4	63:23 64:1, 19,	29:6 35:17 61:2	33:8 43:3, 11,	41:20 66:2
set 7:8 8:18	23 65:14 68:9	spoken 10:1	25 44:4	68:22, 25
25:2 45:9	75:7, 23 80:3	50:20, 24 62:7	subdivision	talking 9:10
setting 42:2	81: <i>13</i>	square 38:23, 24	13:9 14:16	20:4 26:23
share 50:19	sit 6:25	standing 51:5	15:4, 23 16:1, 2,	43:2 66:22
			18 17:2, 13, 22	talks 31:9 43:3
			- ···-, · ··· ,	

[
TEJPAR 1:10	13, 25 32:4, 16,		< W >	year 14:5
2:11 4:12 7:3,	18 46:1 50:14,	< U >	wait 3:18	years 14:1 43:5
14, 17 11:9	16 55:1 59:20	ultimately 63:20	Waite 2:6	yesterday 5:10
÷	70:9 73:12	_		9:2 10:6, <i>1</i> 6
30:3, 5, 18		undersigned	walking 66:8	
34:16 52:8, 11,	78:19 80:10	77:3	wanted 5:18	46:18 54:15
17 55:18 57:12,	titled 66:25	understand 3:8,	24:6	_
25 58:2, 11	TITLES 1:11	12 4:14, 17 5:2,	Wayne 13: <i>14</i>	< Z >
Tejpars 7:6, 8,	4: <i>13, 14</i> 17: <i>4</i> ,	9, 18 6:3, 5 7:6,	ways 15:3	ZAHRA 1:10
25 8:3 15:12	15 24:12 36:22	8 8:15 9:10	33:11	2:11 7:3 76:6
19:4 20:1, 10	37:3 48:22	10:25 12:20	website 16:21	Zoom 75:6
29:13 30:16	49:3, 16 50:1, 4	18:23 19: <i>17</i>	19: <i>14</i> 42: <i>4</i> , 7	
31:17, 21 32:3,	54:4 56:17	21:23 24:19, 22	54:22 56:14, 21,	
5, 14, 16, 22	59:21, 23 66:10	26:8, 19, 22	23 57:2 67:4,	
33:8, 21 35:18,	71:2, 3, 9, 16, 22	27:8 41:23	11, 14 69:17	
22 36:7 38:6	72:7, 16 73:4,	53:2, 16 58:22	70:2 78:14	
39:20 48:11	24 75:13 80:6	73:7 75:7 80:18	weekend 10:19	
61:16 69:23	81:21	understanding	weekend 10.79	
70:5	today 6:25 8:7	54:3 56:11	westerry 19.5 white 58:20	
		74:14 75:1, 10		
telephone 35:4	10:1, 21 54:9,	,	59:2, 5	
ten-minute 62:5	14 76:11	understood	Whoa 57:20	
terms 25:1	today's 8:24	26:15 38:24	wide 21:10	
37:8 47:10	9:24 10:13	undertaken	width 63:17	
55:11 63:17	told 19:25 20:8,	68:18	wife 8: <i>10</i> 10: <i>14</i> ,	
testified 71:13	9 33:15 41:25	undertaking	25 11:25 12:9	
text 49:15, 18	68:17	23:3, 6, 7 49:5,	winter 48:20	
50:9	Tom 5:6	7 64:7, 10, 13	wish 5: <i>11</i> , 24	
thereon 19:8	Tom's 35:4	65: <i>1, 4</i> 74:6, 8	16: <i>16</i> 76:6	
43:12 44:5 47:5	transaction	78:17, 22 79:1,	witness 3:22,	
thing 48: <i>18</i>	23:25 24:15	7, 13	24 4:5 17:9, 23	
things 32:10	transcribed 77:5	undertakings	22:19 27:2	
76:2	Transcript 1:16	25:15 76:11	61:25 70: <i>14</i>	
THOMAS 1:8	74:13 77:1, 4	78:4, 16	witness's 4:2	
thought 73: <i>12</i> ,	78:6, 8 79:16	university 11:23	35:11	
21	transfer 48: <i>14</i> ,	unknown 19: <i>18</i>	wonder 56:12	
time 3:16 6:19	16, 21, 25 49:1,	upset 33: <i>21</i>	won't 38:3, 7	
11:22, 25 14:9	10 51:14 52:13,	utilized 8:3	word 11:17	
16: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 7 23:5,	18, 21, 24 78:24		19: <i>19</i>	
10, 12 24:2, 16,	transferred	< V >	wording 71:18	
20, 23 25:12	51:14 52:12, 17,	various 36:17	worked 7:20	
29:8 30:18	20, 24	63:19	wrap 76:2	
33:5, 24 34:6,	transportation	verbal 20:6	written 73:12	
13 36:15 37:21	63:18	Veritext 2:21	wrong 63:2	
39:10 40:9	travels 11:23	version 23:4, 9	wrote 36:21, 22	
47:11 48:8	trouble 69:5	78:18	37:3	
63:10 70:25	true 6:22	videoconference	01.0	
71:9, 21 72:13	truth 6:7, 20	4:7	< Y >	
73:13, 20, 23	trying 32:11	videoconferencin	Yeah 3:24 9:17	
75:14 76:12	36:17 44:10	g 1:19 2:1	10:8 34:10	
78:19 81:21	turn 6:14 21:21	view 40:17	38:13 39:23	
times 62:8	31:6 37:24	47:1, <i>4</i>	44:10 46:6	
timing 47:10	44:22	virtual 3:3	49:3, <i>21</i> 51: <i>4</i> ,	
-		Virtua 3:3 Virtue 69:16	24 59:13 64:10	
title 21:25 22:3,	turning 37:23			
8, 11, 15, 22	type 59:24	voiced 21:3	67:3 69:2	
23:4, 9, 14	typically 56:12		70:18 75:19	
24:18 25:7, 12,				